arXiv:1511.01659v1 [hep-th] 5 Nov 2015

Calculation of multi-loop superstring amplitudes

G. S. Danilov
Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia
E-mail: danilov@thd.pnpi.spb.ru

Abstract

The multi-loop interaction amplitudes in the theory of the closed, oriented superstrings are obtained by the integration of local amplitudes. The superstring local amplitude is represented by a sum of the spinning string local amplitudes. The spinning string local amplitudes are given explicitly through super-Schottky group parameters and interaction vertex coordinates on the (1|1) complex supermanifold. The super-Schottky group is a supersymmetrical extension of the Schottky group. The integration is ambiguous with respect to those replacements of the integration variables which admix Grassmann variables to the boson ones. So the calculation is guided by a preservation of local symmetries of the superstring. The superstring amplitudes are free from divergences and they are consistent with the world-sheet spinning string symmetries. The vacuum amplitude vanishes along with 1-, 2- and 3-point amplitudes of massless states. The vanishing of the vacuum amplitude occurs after the integration of the corresponding local amplitude is performed over those modular variables that are limiting points of the super-Schottky group. The above-mentioned massless state amplitudes are nullified afterwards the corresponding local amplitudes are, in addition, integrated over the interaction vertex coordinates.

1 Introduction

In the Ramond- Neveu - Schwarz theory [1] the superstring interaction amplitudes are obtained by a summation of the spinning (fermion) string interaction amplitudes. The string world-sheet carries the zweibein e_m^a and the 2D-gravitino field ϕ_m. Due to the local 2D-symmetries, the amplitudes are independent of the above mentioned gauge fields e_m^a and ϕ_m. In addition, due to a hidden space-time symmetry of the superstring, it is expected that the vacuum superstring amplitude is nullified along with 1-, 2- and 3-point amplitudes of massless states.

Every n-loop amplitude is represented by an integral of a local amplitude. The integral is taken over $(3n-3|2n-2)$ complex moduli (if $n>1$) and over interaction vertex coordinates on the complex $(1|1)$ supermanifold.

The supermanifold is often specified [2] as the Riemann surface with spin structure [3]. Grassmann moduli are assigned to the 2D-gravitino field which, therefore, is not conformally flat. The integration over Grassmann moduli being performed, each spinning string amplitude is represented by an integral of a local function where the integral is taken over vertex coordinates and over Riemann moduli. The superstring amplitude is obtained by summing over spin structures. Spin structures are not invariant under transformations of the 2D supersymmetry that for a long time was a source of troubles. Multi-loop amplitudes in [2] depend on the location of the 2D-gravitino field [2, 4, 5] that means a loss of the 2D supersymmetry in these calculations. True two-loop amplitudes has been obtained in [6]. Arbitrary-loop amplitudes have been obtained in [7].

Alternatively, the supercovariant gauge [8, 9, 10, 11, 12] is employed where the zweibein and 2D-gravitino field are conformally flat. In this case local spinning string amplitudes have a manifest symmetry under $SL(2)$ and supermodular transformations. The supermodular transformations are an extension [13] of the modular transformations [14] on the Riemann surface. The string world sheet is specified as the $(1|1)$, non-split supermanifold. The supermanifold carries a "superspin" structure [11, 12, 13, 15] instead of the spin structure [3]. The superstring amplitude is obtained by a summation over the superspin structures. The superspin structures are supersymmetric extensions of the spin structures [3]. In this case the twist about (A,B)-cycles is, generally, accompanied by a supersymmetric transformation including fermion-boson mixing. The fermion-boson mixing arises due to the presence of Grassmann moduli that are assigned to the $(1|1)$ complex, non-split supermanifold in addition to the Riemann ones. The fermion-boson mixing differentiates the superspin structures from the ordinary spin ones. Indeed, the ordinary spin structures [3] imply that boson fields are single-valued on Riemann surfaces. Only fermion fields being twisted about (A,B)-cycles, may receive the sign.

The supermanifold is usually described by "super-Schottky" groups that are superconformal extensions [15] of the Schottky groups. The genus-$n>1$ super-Schottky group depends on $(3n-3)$ even complex moduli and on $(2n-2)$ Grassmann complex moduli. In this case local spinning amplitudes with any number of loops have been explicitly calculated [12] for all the superspin structures. In doing so, the partition functions have been computed from equations [12, 15] that are nothing else than Ward identities. These equations realize the requirement that the spinning amplitudes are independent of infinitesimal local variations of both the vierbein and the gravitino field. Therefore, they are consistent with the gauge invariance of the fermion

string. The world-sheet gauge group is so large that the Ward identities fix the partition function up to a constant multiplier. This multiplier is determined by a factorization condition following from the unitarity equations. In the present paper, the integration of the local amplitudes [12] over moduli and over vertex coordinates is considered.

Super-Schottky groups are, generally, non-split that is fermion variables are mixed to the boson ones under the super-Schottky group transformation. In this case the integration over the fundamental region of the Schottky group leads to the loss of the $SL(2)$ symmetry. The modular transformations are non-split too, and the period matrix [12, 13] is different from the period matrix [14] on the Riemann surface by terms, which are proportional to the Grassmann moduli (generally, these terms depend on the superspin structure). Then the integration over the fundamental region of the modular group leads to a loss of the modular symmetry. To restore the symmetries, the integral over the fundamental region must be supplemented [16] by the integral around its boundary (cf. with [7]).

When the Riemann surface is degenerated, singularities appear in the spinning string amplitudes. The integration of such singular expressions is ambiguous with respect to those replacements of the integration variables which admix Grassmann variables to the boson ones. The result of the integration of the given expression can be either finite or divergent depending on the choice of the integration variables [16]. Nevertheless, the result is the same under those replacements of the integration variables, which do not lead to a divergence of the integral. In this paper the superstring amplitudes are calculated through multipliers and limiting points of the super-Schottky group. The obtained amplitudes are finite, and they hold both $SL(2)$-symmetry and the supermodular one. In addition, the vacuum amplitude is nullified together with 1-, 2- and 3-point amplitudes of massless states.

Conventional wisdom has it that the split gauge [2] has the essential drop on the supercovariant gauge. Indeed, in the split gauge [2] the $(1|1)$ complex supermanifold is split that is fermions are not mixed to bosons under twists around non-contractible cycles. In this case that integration measures seemingly possesses by the modular symmetry [14] and are represented through theta-functions and modular forms. In the two-loop calculation [6] the genus-2 integration measures are indeed modular forms, and the GSO projections of the spinning string amplitudes with less than four legs are equal to zero. The GSO projection of the four-point amplitude does not depend on ϕ_m. The spinning string amplitude ceases to depend on ϕ_m due to the integration over vertex coordinates. The papers [6] have initiated the efforts [17] to build genus $n > 2$ amplitudes assuming certain properties of the amplitudes, the modular symmetry being among them. This strategy meets with difficulties [18, 19], at least for $n > 3$. In fact modular transformations on the split $(1|1)$ supermanifold are, generally, distinguished from the modular transformation on the Riemann surface [7].

The calculation of the arbitrary-loop spinning amplitudes in [7] exploits the gauge symmetry on the string world sheet and uses no assumptions. The spinning string amplitudes are independent of local variations of the 2D gravitino field due to the integration over vertex coordinates, but local amplitudes depend on ϕ_m (the last takes place even in the two-loop case [6]). Modular transformations, generally, change ϕ_m. At the same time, the integration of the local function over moduli and over vertex coordinates is performed at the given location of ϕ_m. Returning back to the original ϕ_m is achieved by an extra transformation of a local 2D

supersymmetry. Therefore, the symmetry group of the amplitude consists of modular transformations accompanied by the relevant supersymmetry ones. So far as the local amplitudes depend on ϕ_m the distinguishing of these "supermodular" transformations from the ordinary modular transformations is important.

The period matrix on the discussed supermanifold collects periods of scalar superfunctions which vanish under the supercovariant Laplacian [16]. The supermodular transformation changes [7, 16] this matrix just as the relevant modular transformation changes the period matrix [14] on the Riemann surface. Since the superscalar functions depend on ϕ_m, the period matrix on the (1|1) complex supermanifold is, generally, distinguished from the period matrix on the Riemann surface by terms proportional to the Grassmann moduli. In this case the integration of the local amplitude over the fundamental region of the modular group leads to the loss of 2D supersymmetry. As the result, the spinning string amplitudes depend on ϕ_m. To restore the supersymmetry, the integration over the fundamental region of the modular group must be supplemented [7, 16] by the integral around the boundary of the region just as it occurs in the supercovariant gauge.

A loss of the supersymmetry in [2] is due to the difference between the supermodular symmetry and the modular one was ignored, and because of an incomplete calculation of the ghost zero mode contribution to the amplitude [7].

If $n \leq 3$, then the periods of the superscalar functions can be taken [6] as the moduli set. In this case the boundary integral does not arise. If $n \leq 3$ and the moduli setting [2] is used, the boundary integral is removed [7] by a re-definition [7] of the local amplitude. If $n > 3$, then periods of superscalar functions depend on Grassmann moduli for any choice of moduli variables. In this case the boundary integral is necessary present in the expression for the amplitude. Then nullification of the vacuum amplitude, vacuum-dilaton transition constant and of the 2- and 3- point amplitudes for the massless boson states is achieved due to integration over the interaction vertex coordinates and (for $n > 3$) over moduli. It is akin to what occurs in the superconformal gauge. Furthermore, the genus-$n > 2$ superghost correlator is different from that, which is proposed in [2]. The difference is due [7] an incomplete calculation in [2] of the superghost zero mode contribution to the amplitude. The true correlator [7] is not represented through theta (and kindred to the theta)-functions. Besides, the genus-$n > 2$ superstring amplitudes cease to depend on ϕ_m only due to integration over the interaction vertex coordinates and (for $n > 3$) over moduli. Superstring local amplitudes depend on ϕ_m that is rather awkward for applications. So expressions for the genus-$n > 2$ amplitudes in the split gauge are much more complicated that it has been expected naively, and it is not obvious that in this case the split gauge [2] has an advantage over the supercovariant gauge.

The paper is organized as it follows. Section 2 contains a brief review of super-Schottky groups [12, 13]. In Sections 3 a supercovariant integration of the local amplitudes is proposed. In Sections 4, 5 and 6 superfield vacuum correlators and integration measures are given for a following study of degenerated modular configurations. In Section 7 an ambiguity in the integrations of local amplitudes is demonstrated. The cancelation of divergences in the superstring amplitudes is shown for those modular configurations where either one of the Scottky multipliers goes to zero, or the genus-n supermaifold is degenerated into the sum of the genus-1 supermanifold and of the genus-$(n-1)$ one. In Section 8 the finiteness of the superstring

amplitudes is established. The vanishing of the 0-, 1-, 2- and 3-point functions is verified. The preservation of the local symmetries of the amplitudes is argued.

2 Superspin structures

As it was noted above, the super-Schottky group determines the super-spin structure on the complex (1|1) supermanifold. The supermanifold is mapped by the $t = (z|\vartheta)$ coordinate. The genus-n super-spin structure presents a superconformal extension of the relevant genus-n spin structure given by the set of transformations $\Gamma^{(0)}_{a,s}$ and $\Gamma^{(0)}_{b,s}$ (where $s = 1, \dots n$) corresponding to the round of A_s-cycle and, respectively, of the B_s-cycle on the Riemann surface. They depend on the theta function characteristics l_{1s} and l_{2s} that are assigned to the given handle s. A discrimination is made only between those (super-)spin structures, for which field vacuum correlators are distinct. So l_{1s} and l_{2s} can be restricted by 0 and 1/2. In this case $l_{1s} = 0$ is assigned to the Neveu-Schwarz handle while $l_{1s} = 1/2$ is reserved for the Ramond one. Furthermore,

$$\Gamma^{(0)}_{b,s} \equiv \Gamma^{(0)}_{b,s}(t) \equiv \Gamma^{(0)}_{b,s}(l_{2s}; t) = \left\{ z^{(b0)}_s = g_s(z), \quad \vartheta^{(b0)}_s = -(-1)^{l_{2s}} \vartheta \sqrt{g'_s(z)} \right\} ,$$
$$\Gamma^{(0)}_{a,s} \equiv \Gamma^{(0)}_{a,s}(t) \equiv \Gamma^{(0)}_{a,s}(l_{1s}; t) = \{ z^{(a0)}_s = z, \quad \vartheta^{(a0)}_s = (-1)^{2l_{1s}} \vartheta \} \tag{1}$$

where $g'_s(z) = \partial_z g_s(z)$ and $g_s(z)$ is the Schottky transformation $g(z)$ corresponding to the round about B_s-cycle. The Schottky transformation $g(z)$ is given by

$$g(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \text{where} \quad ad - bc = 1. \tag{2}$$

The parameters in (2) are expressed through two unmoved (limiting) points u and v on the complex z-plane as well as through the complex multiplier k (where $|k| \leq 1$). In this case

$$a = \frac{u - kv}{\sqrt{k}(u - v)} , \quad d = \frac{ku - v}{\sqrt{k}(u - v)} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \frac{1 - k}{\sqrt{k}(u - v)} . \tag{3}$$

The Schottky transformation (2) turns the boundary of the Schottky circle C_v into the boundary of the C_u circle. In this case

$$C_v = \{ z : |cz + d|^2 = 1 \} , \qquad C_u = \{ z : | - cz + a|^2 = 1 \} \tag{4}$$

Using (3), one can see that v lies inside C_v and outside C_u. Correspondingly, u is inside C_u and outside C_v. Since $\Gamma^{(0)}_{a,s}$ in (1) corresponds to the round of the Schottky circle, in the Ramond case a square root cut appears on z-plane between u_s and v_s. The set of n forming transformations (1) and their group products forms the Schottky group of the genus-n. The fundamental region of a group is that, no two of whose points are congruent[1] under the group transformations,

[1]The congruent points, or curves, or domains are those related by a group transformation other that the identical transformation [20].

and such that the neighborhood of any point on the boundary contains points congruent to the points in the region [20]. The integral of a conformal $(1,1)$ tensor over a fundamental region of the group does not depend on the choice of the fundamental region [20].

If the Schottky circles of the forming transformations all are separated from each other, then the exterior of them can be taken as the fundamental region. We need, however, to consider, in addition, those modular configurations where the circles corresponding to distinct handles may overlap each other (see eq.(29) in Sec. 3). In any case the exterior of all the Schottky circles C_{v_g} and C_{u_g} can be taken as the fundamental region. The C_{v_g} and C_{u_g} circles correspond to the transformation $g \neq I$ of the Schottky group. One can replace [20] any part of the fundamental region by a congruent part and still have a fundamental region. Therefore, $(-cz+a)$ in (4) can be replaced by relevant function $\ell_g(z)$. Simultaneously, $(cz+d)$ is replaced by $\ell_g(g(z))$. To bound the integration with respect to $(z,\bar{z})$ by the fundamental region, the integrated expression can multiply by a factor $B^{(n)}(z,\bar{z};\{q,\bar{q}\})$ given as

$$B^{(n)}(z,\bar{z};\{q,\bar{q}\}) = \prod_{g\neq I} \theta(|\ell_g(z)|^2 - 1)\theta(1-|\ell_g(g(z))|^2) \tag{5}$$

where $\theta(x)$ is the step function that is $\theta(x)=1$ at $x>0$ and $\theta(x)=0$ at $x<0$.

In the superstring theory the transformations (1) are replaced by $SL(2)$ transformations $\Gamma_{a,s} \equiv \Gamma_{a,s}(l_{2s}) \equiv \Gamma_{a,s}(t) \equiv \Gamma_{a,s}(l_{1s};t)$ and $\Gamma_{b,s} \equiv \Gamma_{b,s}(l_{2s}) \equiv \Gamma_{b,s}(t) \equiv \Gamma_{b,s}(l_{2s};t)$ with [11, 12, 13]

$$\Gamma_{a,s} = \tilde{\Gamma}_s^{-1}\Gamma_{a,s}^{(0)}\tilde{\Gamma}_s\,, \qquad \Gamma_{b,s} = \tilde{\Gamma}_s^{-1}\Gamma_{b,s}^{(0)}\tilde{\Gamma}_s \tag{6}$$

where $\Gamma_{b,s}^{(0)}$ and $\Gamma_{a,s}^{(0)}$ are given by (1) while $\tilde{\Gamma}_s$ depends, among other things, on two Grassmann parameters (μ_s,ν_s) as follows

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_s \equiv \tilde{\Gamma}_s(t) = \left\{ z = z^{(s)} + \vartheta^{(s)}\varepsilon_s(z^{(s)})\,, \quad \vartheta = \vartheta^{(s)}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon_s\varepsilon_s'}{2}\right) + \varepsilon_s(z^{(s)}) \right\}, \tag{7}$$

$$\varepsilon_s(z) = \frac{\mu_s(z-v_s)-\nu_s(z-u_s)}{u_s-v_s}\,, \qquad \varepsilon_s' = \partial_z\varepsilon_s(z) \tag{8}$$

where $(u_s|\mu_s)$ and $(v_s|\nu_s)$ are limiting points of transformations (6). The set of the transformations (6) for $s=1,\ldots,n$ together with their group products forms the genus-n super-Schottky group. In the explicit form the $\Gamma_{b,s}$ transformation $(z|\vartheta) \to (z_s^{(b)}|\vartheta_s^{(b)})$ is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_s^{(b)} &= \frac{(-1)^{2l_{2s}+1}}{(c_sz+d_s)}\Big[(1+\varepsilon_s\varepsilon_s')\vartheta + \epsilon_s(z)\Big] - g'(z)\vartheta\varepsilon_s\varepsilon_s'\,, \\ z_s^{(b)} &= g_s(z) + g_s'(z)\vartheta\epsilon_s(z) - g_s'(z)\varepsilon_s(z)\epsilon_s(z)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

In this case

$$\epsilon_s(z) = (-1)^{2l_{2s}+1}(c_sz+d_s)\varepsilon_s(g_s(z)) - \varepsilon_s(z) \tag{10}$$

If $l_{1s}=0$, then $\Gamma_{a,s}$ is the identical transformation. If $l_{1s}=1/2$, then the $\Gamma_{a,s}$ transformation $(z|\vartheta) \to (z_s^{(a)}|\vartheta_s^{(a)})$ is not identical transformation being

$$\vartheta_s^{(a)} = -(1+2\varepsilon_s\varepsilon_s')\vartheta + 2\varepsilon_s(z)\,, \qquad z_s^{(a)} = z - 2\vartheta\varepsilon_s(z)\,. \tag{11}$$

Therefore, in this case both $\Gamma_{a,s}$ and $\Gamma_{b,s}$ are non-split transformations. The superconformal p-tensor $T_p(t)$ is changed under the $SL(2)$ transformation $\Gamma(t) = \{t \to t_\Gamma = (z_\Gamma(t)|\vartheta_\Gamma(t))\}$ as follows

$$T_p(t_\Gamma) = T_p(t)Q^p_\Gamma(t) \tag{12}$$

where $Q_\Gamma(t)$ is that factor, which the spinor left derivative $D(t)$ receives under the $\Gamma(t)$ transformation. In this case

$$Q^{-1}_\Gamma(t) = D(t)\vartheta_\Gamma(t)\,; \qquad D(t_\Gamma) = Q_\Gamma(t)D(t)\,, \qquad D(t) = \vartheta\partial_z + \partial_\vartheta \tag{13}$$

It follows from (13) that

$$Q_{\Gamma_1\Gamma_2}(t) = Q_{\Gamma_1}(\Gamma_2(t))Q_{\Gamma_2}(t) \tag{14}$$

Furthermore, $\Gamma_{b,s}$ turns the "boundary" of the $\hat{C}_{u_s}$ "circle" to the "boundary" of $\hat{C}_{v_s}$ where[2]

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{C}_{v_s} &= \{t : (-1)^{2l_{2s}+2l'_{2s}}Q_{\Gamma_{b,s}(l_{2s})}(t)\overline{Q_{\Gamma_{b,s}(l'_{2s})}(t)} = 1\}\,,\\ \hat{C}_{u_s} &= \{t : (-1)^{2l_{2s}+2l'_{2s}}Q_{\Gamma^{-1}_{b,s}(l_{2s})}(t)\overline{Q_{\Gamma^{-1}_{b,s}(l'_{2s})}(t)} = 1\}\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where l_{2s} is assigned to the right movers and l'_{2s} is assosiated with the left ones. Pairs of the congruent "circles" $(\hat{C}_{v_{\Gamma_b}}, \hat{C}_{u_{\Gamma_b}})$ can be built for any group product of the $\Gamma_{b,s}$ transformations.

As for the Schottky group, one can replace "circles" (15) by a pair of any relevant "curves". In particular, the "circles" (15) can be replaced by a pair of the congruent "circles" $(\tilde{C}_{v_s}, \tilde{C}_{u_s})$ where

$$\tilde{C}_{v_s} = \{t : |c_s z^{(s)} + d_s|^2 = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{C}_{u_s} = \{t : |-c_s z^{(s)} + a_s|^2 = 1\}\,, \tag{16}$$

$z^{(s)}$ being defined by (7). "Circles" (15) and (16) are different from each other and from (4) by terms that are proportional to Grassmann quantities.

All the congruent "curves" are distinguished from the corresponding congruent curves for the Schottky group by terms that are proportional to Grassmann quantities. Therefore, the integration of the conformal $(1/2, 1/2)$-tensor over the Schottky group fundamental region destroys the $SL(2)$ symmetry. The symmetry is restored due to supplementing of the integral by an integral over the boundary of the integration region. To derive this boundary integral, we extend of the step function $\theta(x)$ to the case when $x = x_b + x_s$ contains the "soul" part x_s that is the part proportional to the Grassmann parameters. Then $\theta(x)$ is understood in the sense that it is the Taylor series in x_s. In the calculation of the Taylor series one employs the known relation $d\,\theta(x_b)/d\,x_b = \delta(x_b)$ where $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac delta-function. Under this condition, the fundamental region can be bounded just as it was done for the Schottky group fundamental region. In doing so, the integrand is multiplied by a step function factor. Besides $(t, \bar{t})$ and the $\{q, \bar{q}\}$ set of the super-Schottky group parameters, this $B^{(n)}_{L,L'}(t, \bar{t}; \{q, \bar{q}\})$ factor may depend on the superspin structure $L = \{l_{1s}, l_{2s}\}$ of the right movers and on the superspin structure L' of the left movers. Excepting the unity transformation, the group transformations are divided into transformations G and inverse G^{-1} to them. Then in the general case

$$B^{(n)}_{L,L'}(t, \bar{t}; \{q, \bar{q}\}) = \prod_G \theta(\ell^L_G(t)\overline{\ell^{L'}_G(t)} - 1)\theta(1 - \ell^L_G(\Gamma_{b,G^{-1}}(L; t))\overline{\ell^{L'}_G(\Gamma_{b,G^{-1}}(L'; t))}) \tag{17}$$

[2]Through the paper the overline denotes the complex conjugation.

where $\ell_G^L(t)$ is assigned to the super-Schottky group transformation $\Gamma_{b,G}(L;t)$ for the superspin structure L. The step function $\theta(x)$ is understood as the Taylor series in those Grassmann quantities, which are contained in its argument. The above expansion originates δ-functions and their derivatives, which give rise to boundary terms in the integral. The $(1/2,1/2)$ supertensor being integrated, the integral is independent of $\ell_G^L(t)$ that is directly verified for infinitesimal variations of $\ell_G^L(t)$. The integration variables being replaced, the arguments of the step functions are correspondingly replaced. As the result, the integral is independent of the choice of the integration variables. For every given modular configuration only a finite number of the multipliers in (17) are different from the unity.

3 Integration of the local amplitude

The superstring amplitude is calculated by the integration of the local amplitude. The integration is performed with respect to the interaction vertex coordinates $t_j = (z_j|\vartheta_j)$ and over super-Schottky group parameters k_j, $U_j = (u_j|\mu_j)$ and $V_j = (v_j|\nu_j)$ exceping those $(3|2)$ parameters among U_j and V_j, which are fixed due to $SL(2)$ symmetry. If U_a, V_a and u_b are fixed, then the local amplitude is multiplied by a factor $|\widehat{H}(U_a,V_a,U_b)|^2$ where [12]

$$\widehat{H}(U_a,V_a,U_b) = (u_a-u_b)(v_a-u_b)\left[1-\frac{\mu_a\mu_b}{2(u_a-u_b)}-\frac{\nu_a\mu_b}{2(v_a-u_b)}\right]. \tag{18}$$

Due to this factor, the amplitude does not depend on a choice of the $\{N_0\}$ set of fixed parameters. Besides the fixed parameters, the discussed factor (18) depends on μ_b which is the Grassmann partner of u_b.

The integration region over $t_j = (z_j|\vartheta_j)$ is restricted by the product

$$\widehat{B}^{(n)}_{L,L'}(\{t_j,\bar{t}_j\};\{q,\bar{q}\}) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} B^{(n)}_{L,L'}(t_j,\bar{t}_j;\{q,\bar{q}\}) \tag{19}$$

of the step functions (17). In line with Section 2, the step function $\theta(x)$ is understood as the Taylor series in those Grassmann quantities which are contained in its argument x.

The integration over the super-Schottky group parameters is performed in such a way that the period matrix is kept in the fundamental region of the modular group. The modular transformation on the supermanifold is a globally defined, holomorphic superconformal transition from the supermanifold cordinate t to $\hat{t} = \hat{t}(t,\{q\};L)$. The transition is accompanied by the holomorphic $q \to \hat{q}(\{q\};L)$ change of the super-Schottky group parameters q and, generally, by the change of the super-spin structure $L \to \hat{L}(L)$. In this case $\hat{t}$ and $\hat{q}$ depend on the superspin structure by terms proportional to Grassmann parameters [13]. Like to the modular transformation on the Riemann surface [14], the modular transformation on the supermanifold realizes the transition to a new basis of non-contractable cycles. Therefore, the period matrix $\omega(\{q\},L)$ is changed just as the period matrix $\omega(\{q\}_0)$ on the Riemann surface [14], that is

$$\omega(\{q\},L) = [A\omega(\{\hat{q}\},\hat{L})+B][C\omega(\{\hat{q}\},\hat{L})+D]^{-1} \tag{20}$$

where integer A, B, C and D matrices satisfy [14] the following relations[3]

$$C^TA = A^TC\,, \qquad D^TB = B^TD\,, \qquad D^TA - B^TC = I\,. \tag{21}$$

All the Grassmann parameters being equal to zero, the period matrix on the supermanifold is reduced to the period matrix $\omega(\{q\}_0)$ on the Riemann surface. In this case entries $\omega_{sp}(\{q\}_0)$ of $\omega(\{q\}_0)$ are given through $\{q\}_0$ as follows [9, 10, 12, 23]

$$2\pi i\omega_{sp}(\{q\}_0) = \ln\frac{(u_s-u_p)(v_s-v_p)}{(u_s-v_p)(v_s-u_p)} + {\sum_{\Gamma\neq I}}'' \ln\frac{[u_s-g_\Gamma(u_p)][v_s-g_\Gamma(v_p)]}{[u_s-g_\Gamma(v_p)][v_s-g_\Gamma(u_p)]}\,,\ (s\neq p); \tag{22}$$

$$2\pi i\omega_{ss}(\{q\}_0) = \ln k_s + {\sum_{\Gamma\neq I}}' \ln\frac{[u_s-g_\Gamma(u_s)][v_s-g_\Gamma(v_s)]}{[u_s-g_\Gamma(v_s)][v_s-g_\Gamma(u_s)]} \tag{23}$$

where I is the identical transformation. The summation in (22) is performed over all the Schottky group transformations Γ whose leftmosts are not group powers of g_s, or whose rightmosts are not group powers of g_p. In (23) the summation is performed over all those g_Γ, whose leftmosts and rightmosts are not group powers of g_s. Jumping from the given branch of the logarithmic function to another branch presents a certain transformation (20) with $C=0$ and $A=D=I$. We fix branches of logarithmic functions in (22) and in (23) by cuts which are drawn between singular points of the logarithmic functions on the u_s and v_s on complex planes. In so doing we suppose that

$$|\arg k_s| \le \pi\,, \quad |\arg(u_s-u_r)| \le \pi\,,\ |\arg(u_s-v_r)| \le \pi\,,$$
$$|\arg(v_s-v_r)| \le \pi\,, \quad (s\neq r)\,. \tag{24}$$

Adding of ± 1 to $\omega_{sp}(\{q\}_0)$ in (22) is achieved by a suitable round of the limiting points (u_s, v_s) over limiting points of the handle p. In this case the sum on the right side of (22) is not changed. So constraints (24) on the arguments of the limiting point differences discriminate between $(l_{1s}=l_{1r}=1/2, l_{2s}=l_{2r}=0)$ and $(l_{1s}=l_{1r}=1/2, l_{2s}=l_{2r}=1/2)$. The $|\arg k_s| \le \pi$ condition discriminates between $(l_{1s}=0, l_{2s}=0)$ and $(l_{1s}=0, l_{2s}=1/2)$. Hence one can redefine spin structures using relations (24) instead of the usual constraint $|Re\,\omega_{sp}(\{q\}_0)| \le 1/2$. In the both cases the sum over the spin structures includes all the distinct spin structures without a double counting.

Conditions (24) can be also used for a definition of superspin structures at non-zero Grassmann parameters. If the functions in (24) have "soul" (i.e. proportional to Grassmann parameters) parts, the corresponding relation (24) is written down for the "body" of the function.

The modular transformation (20) with

$$B = C = 0\,, \quad A = F\,, \quad D^{-1} = F^T\,, \quad \det F = \pm 1\,, \tag{25}$$

corresponds to a redefining of the forming transformation set. If (3|2) limiting points are fixed by (18), then the redefining touches only $(n-2)$ handles among n ones. In particular, the

[3] The right-top "T" symbol labels the transposing.

interchange between the s_1 and s_2 handles corresponds to the F matrix having non-zero non-diagonal entries only to be $F_{s_1s_2} = F_{s_2s_1} = 1$ and diagonal entries $F_{s_1s_1} = F_{s_2s_2} = 0$, the rest diagonal entries being equal to the unity. To avoid a multi-counting of the handles in calculation of the n-loop amplitude, one can either order the handles in any manner, or multiply the local amplitude by $1/(n-2)!$.

Diagonal $F \neq I$ matrices correspond to the replacement of the given group transformation by its inverse. In this case the diagonal F matrix with $F_{ss} = -1$ corresponds to the interchange between $(u_s|\mu_s)$ and $(v_s|\nu_s)$. For zero Grassmann parameters it is seen directly from (23). To avoid double-counting of the handles in calculation of the n-loop amplitude, one can multiply the local amplitude by $2^{-(n-2)}$.

Remaining $F \neq I$ matrices are associated with transitions to other sets $\{G_j\}$ of the *kleinian* group basic transformations. The resulting basic transformations are group products of the former basic transformations. The period matrix $\omega(\{q\}_0)_{G_j,G_l} = (F\omega(\{q\}_0)F^T)_{jl}$ in the $\{G_j\}$ basis is obtained from (22) and (23) by the $\{g_j\} \to \{G_j\}$ replacement. The basic group transformations are usually [14] chosen in such a way that entries $Im\omega_{jl}(\{q\}_0) \equiv y_{jl}(\{q,\bar{q}\}_0)$ of the matrix $Im\omega(\{q\}_0) \equiv y(\{q,\bar{q}\}_0)$ obey relations, as follows

$$[Fy(\{q,\bar{q}\}_0)F^T]_{jj} \geq y_{jj}(\{q,\bar{q}\}_0) \tag{26}$$

where F is the n-dimensional integer, unimodular matrix whose last $n-j+1$ entries of every column f_j are relatively prime. Conditions (26) restrict the fundamental region in the period matrix space. In addition, the fundamental region is bounded by conditions [14]

$$|\det[C(\omega(\{q\}_0) + \widetilde{B}) + D]|^2 \geq 1\,, \tag{27}$$

C and D being any of the integer matrices in (20). The integer $\widetilde{B}$ matrix in (27) is chosen from the condition that $|Re\omega(\{q\}_0) + \widetilde{B}| \leq 1/2$. If the usual constraint $|Re\,\omega_{sp}(\{q\}_0)| \leq 1/2$ is employed instead of (24), then $\widetilde{B} = 0$.

Restrictions (26)-(27) do not forbid an overlap of Schottky circles corresponding to distinct forming transformations. Indeed, from (26) it follows [14] that

$$min[y_{jj}(\{q,\bar{q}\}_0),\, y_{rr}(\{q,\bar{q}\}_0)] \geq 2|y_{rs}(\{q,\bar{q}\}_0)|. \tag{28}$$

Assuming the Schottky multipliers to be small, one obtains that $y_{rr}(\{q,\bar{q}\}_0) \approx (\ln k_r)/2\pi i$. Then from (28), it is follows that $y_{jl}(\{q,\bar{q}\}_0) = Im\omega_{jl}(\{q\}_0)$ is approximately bounded as follows

$$\sqrt{|k|} \leq \exp[2\pi y_{jl}(\{q,\bar{q}\}_0)] \leq 1/\sqrt{|k|}\,, \qquad |k| = max(|k_r|,|k_s|)\,. \tag{29}$$

If, in addition, distances between Schottky circle centers are nothing much as between their radii, then $\omega_{sp}(\{q\}_0)$ is approximated by the first term on the right side of (22) so that

$$\exp[2\pi i\omega_{sp}(\{q\}_0)] \approx \frac{(u_s-u_p)(v_s-v_p)}{(u_s-v_p)(v_s-u_p)}\,. \tag{30}$$

If, for instance, $2\pi i\omega_{sp}(\{q\}_0) \to \ln k_p$, then from (30), the u_s point being near u_p, may be either inside, or outside C_{u_p}-circle, against the magnitude of $|(v_s-v_p)/(v_s-u_p)|$.

Grassmann moduli being equal to zero, the integration region over moduli can be restricted through the multiplying of the local amplitude by the product of the $\theta(\mathcal{G}_j(\omega,\overline{\omega}))$ step functions where $\omega \equiv \omega(\{q\}_0)$ and equations $\mathcal{G}_i(\omega,\overline{\omega}) = 0$ determine the boundary of the integration region in line with (26) and (27). If Grassman parameters are present, then the local amplitude is multiplied by

$$\mathcal{O}(\omega_L,\overline{\omega_{L'}}) = \prod_j \theta(\mathcal{G}_j(\omega_L,\overline{\omega_{L'}}))\,, \quad \omega_L \equiv \omega(\{q\},L) \tag{31}$$

where the step function $\theta(x)$ is understood as the Taylor series in those Grassmann quantities, which are contained in x.

The period matrix does not changed under redefinitions

$$\Gamma_{a,s}(l_{2s}) \to \Gamma_{G_s}\Gamma_{a,s}(l_{1s})\Gamma^{-1}_{G_s}\,, \quad \Gamma_{b,s}(l_{2s}) \to \Gamma_{G_s}\Gamma_{b,s}(l_{2s})\Gamma^{-1}_{G_s} \tag{32}$$

of the forming transformations (6) where Γ_{G_s} is any super-Schottky group transformation. Generically, G_s depends on s. The limiting points $U_s = (u_s|\mu_s)$ and $V_s = (v_s|\nu_s)$ are replaced by $\Gamma_{G_s}U_s$ and $\Gamma_{G_s}V_s$. So the step function factor (17) does not changed. So the integration region over (u_s, v_s) must be more bounded, for instance, by the requirement that either u_s, or v_s is exterior of all the Schottky circles C_{u_g} and C_{v_g} with the exception of C_{u_s} or, respectively, of C_{v_s} (see Sec. II for definitions). As in Sec. II, the Schottky circles can be replaced by other relevant curves. The required boundation is realized via multiplying the local amplitude by a relevant step function factor. If $(u_1, v_1, u_2, \mu_1, \nu_1)$ are fixed due to $SL(2)$-symmetry, then the step function factor can be chosen, for instance, as $\widetilde{B}^{(n)}_{L,L'}(\{V_s,\bar{V}_s\};\{q,\bar{q}\})$ given by

$$\widetilde{B}^{(n)}_{L,L'}(\{V_s,\bar{V}_s\};\{q,\bar{q}\}) = \prod_{s=3}^{n} \mathcal{B}^{(n,s)}_{L,L'}(V_s,\bar{V}_s;\{q,\bar{q}\}) \tag{33}$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}^{(n,s)}_{L,L'}(V_s,\bar{V}_s;\{q,\bar{q}\}) = \prod_{G\neq G_s} \theta\Big(\ell^L_G(V_s)\overline{\ell^{L'}_G(V_s)} - 1\Big)\theta\Big(1 - \ell^L_G(\Gamma_{b,G^{-1}}(L,V_s))\overline{\ell^{L'}_G(\Gamma_{b,G^{-1}}(L',V_s))}\Big) \tag{34}$$

and $\ell^L_G(t)$ can be the same as in (17). Like (17), the group transformations with exception of the unity transformation are divided into transformations G and inverse G^{-1} to them. The product is calculated over all the group elements G except $G = I$ and $G = G_s$ where G_s corresponds to $\Gamma_{b,s}(l_{2s})$ transformation. The step functions are understood as series in Grassmann quantities.

Henceforth, the n-loop, m-point amplitude $A_{n,m}(\{p_j,\zeta^{(j)}\})$ for the interaction states carrying 10-dimensional momenta $\{p_j\}$ and the polarization tensors $\zeta^{(j)}$, is represented as follows

$$A^{(n)}_m(\{p_j,\zeta^{(j)}\}) = \frac{g^{2n+m-2}}{2^{n-2}(n-2)!}\int \sum_{L,L'} |\widehat{H}(U_1,V_1,U_2)|^2 Z_{L,L'}(\{q,\overline{q}\})\mathcal{O}^{(n)}(\omega_L,\overline{\omega_{L'}})$$

$$\times \widehat{B}^{(n)}_{L,L'}(\{t_j,\bar{t}_j\};\{q,\bar{q}\})\widetilde{B}^{(n)}_{L,L'}(\{V_s,\bar{V}_s\};\{q,\bar{q}\}) < \prod_{r=1}^{m} V(t_r,\overline{t}_r;p_r;\zeta^{(r)}) > (dqd\overline{q})'(dtd\overline{t}) \tag{35}$$

where $Z_{L,L'}(\{q,\overline{q}\})$ is the integration measure (the partition function), $< ... >$ denotes the vacuum expectation of the interaction vertex product, g is the coupling constant and L (L') labels

the super-spin structures of right (left) movers. The $\widehat{H}(U_1, V_1, U_2)$ factor (18) arises because (3|2) super-Schottky group parametres are fixed due to $SL(2)$-symmetry. The integration is performed over vertex coordinates $\{t_j, \overline{t}_j\}$ on the (1|1) complex supermanifold and over the $\{k_s, u_s, v_s, \mu_s, \nu_s\}$ set of those $(3n-3|2n-2)$ super-Schottky group complex parameters, which are not fixed. For any Grassmann variable η we define $\int d\eta\eta = 1$. For any boson variable x we define $dxd\overline{x} = d(Re\, x)d(Im\, x)/(4\pi)$. In this case the amplitude normalization corresponds to the normalization in [21]. Step function factors are given by (19), (31) and by (33). They are treated as Taylor series with respect to Grassmann quantities in the argument that originates δ-functions and their derivatives, which give rise to the integral over the boundary of the integration region.

Choosing relevant C and D in (27), one obtains that

$$|(F\omega(\{q\}_0)F^T + \widetilde{B})_{jj}|^2 \geq 1 \tag{36}$$

where the F matrix is just one as in (25). From (23), the boundary of inequality (36) is achieved for all k_G to be small, k_G being the multiplier of the Schottky group transformation G. Indeed, in this case $|\omega(\{q\}_0)_{G,G})|^2 \approx |(2\pi)^{-1}\ln k_G|^2$ that corresponds to $|k_G| \leq e^{-3\pi/2}$. Seemingly, the fundamental region (26)-(27) contains only small k_G, but this matter still needs an additional study. In any case, it is accepted in the paper that all the Schottky transformations are loxodromic. Among other things, in this case the $|k_G| \approx 1$ multipliers do not contained in the fundamental region (26)-(27).

Furthermore, if all the k_G multipliers are small and (30) takes place, then conditions (31)-(33) uniquely determine the integration region over Schottky moduli with exception of the genus-$n = 3$ case. In the genus-3 case there are two sets of the (u_3, v_3) moduli corresponding to the same period matrix (it is supposed that u_1, v_1 and u_2 are fixed due to $L2$-symmetry). Indeed, v_2 is uniquely expressed from (30) through $\omega_{12}(\{q\}_0)$ and through (u_1, v_1, u_2) which are fixed due to $L2$-symmetry. Then (u_s, v_s) for every $s > 2$ are determined from the pair of equations (30) with s to be given and $p \leq 2$. These two equations are reduced to an equation set containing a quadratic equation and a linear one. These two equations calculate two (u_s, v_s)-sets for $s > 2$. They are given in terms of $\omega_{s1}(\{q\}_0)$, $\omega_{s2}(\{q\}_0)$, $\omega_{12}(\{q\}_0)$, u_1 , u_2 and v_1.

So in the genus $n = 3$ case two (u_3, v_3)-sets are assigned to the same period matrix that may be taken into account multiplying the amplitude (35) by the 1/2 factor.

If $n > 3$, then non-diagonal entries $\omega_{sp}(\{q\}_0)$ with $s > p > 2$ are distinguished for various sets of the limiting points, and one-to-one correspondence arises between the period matrix and the Schottky group moduli.

The period matrix being in the fundamental region, the limiting points are found to be in the domain where approximation(30) is valid. It is plausible in this case that step functions in (35) wholly determine the integration region over the Scottky moduli.

The vacuum expectation and integration measures in (35) are calculated through vacuum correlators

$$< X^N(t_1, \overline{t}_1)X^M(t_2, \overline{t}_2) >= -\delta^{NM}\hat{X}_{L,L'}(t_1, \overline{t}_1; t_2, \overline{t}_2; \{q\}) \tag{37}$$

of the superfields $X^N(t, \overline{t})$ of the matter $(N = 0, \ldots 9)$ and through the correlator

$$< C(t_1, \overline{t}_1)B(t_2, \overline{t}_2) >= -G_{gh}(t_1, t_2; \{q\}) \tag{38}$$

of the (C, B) ghosts. In this case C is the vector supermultiplet and B is the 3/2-tensor one. In (37) the "mostly plus" metric is implied. The normalization of the fields corresponds to the action S given below as follows

$$S = \int \frac{d^2z}{\pi}\, d\vartheta\, d\overline{\vartheta}\Big[B\overline{D}C - \overline{B}D\overline{C} - 2\overline{D}X_{\mathcal{N}}DX^{\mathcal{N}}\Big]\,. \tag{39}$$

We consider the massless boson interaction amplitudes. Thus, for the Lagrangian (39), the expression [9] for the interaction vertex $V(t,\overline{t};p;\zeta)$ is written down through superfields $X^N(t,\overline{t})$ of the matter as follows

$$V(t,\overline{t};p;\zeta) = 4\zeta_{MN}[D(t)X^M(t,\overline{t})][\overline{D(t)}X^N(t,\overline{t})]\exp[ip_RX^R(t,\overline{t})] \tag{40}$$

where $p = \{p^M\}$ is 10-momentum of the interacting boson while ζ_{MN} is its polarization tensor, $p^M\zeta_{MN} = p^N\zeta_{MN} = 0$, and $p^2 = 0$. The spinor derivative $D(t)$ is defined in (13). The summation over twice repeated indexes is implied. We use the "mostly plus" metric. The dilaton ζ_{MN} tensor is given by the transverse Kronecker symbol $\delta^{\perp}_{MN}$.

4 Vacuum correlator of the matter superfields

The vacuum correlator (37) is calculated using a super-holomorphic Green function. The super-holomorphic Green function is specified except for a scalar zero mode. As in [12], we use the super-holomorphic Green function $R_L(t,t';\{q\})$ that is changed under transformations (12) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} R_L(t^b_r,t';\{q\}) &= R_L(t,t';\{q\}) + J_r(t';\{q\};L)\,,\\ R_L(t^a_r,t';\{q\}) &= R_L(t,t';\{q\}) \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where t^a_s and t^b_s are the same as in (12) and super-holomorphic scalar functions $J_r(t;\{q\};L)$ have periods as follows

$$\begin{aligned} J_r(t^b_s;\{q\};L) &= J_r(t;\{q\};L) + 2\pi i\omega_{sr}(\{q\},L)\,,\\ J_r(t^a_s;\{q\};L) &= J^{(s)}_r(t;\{q\};L) + 2\pi i\delta_{rs}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

The $R_L(t,t';\{q\})$ function is normalized by the condition that

$$R_L(t,t';\{q\}) = \ln(z - z' - \vartheta\vartheta') + \widetilde{R}_L(t,t';\{q\}) \tag{43}$$

where $\widetilde{R}_L(t,t';\{q\})$ has no a singularity at $z \to z'$. Moreover, $\widetilde{R}_L(t,t';\{q\})$ is defined apart from a constant which is excluded by a condition that $\widetilde{R}_L(t,t';\{q\})$ decreases at $z \to \infty$ or at $z' \to \infty$. Along with $R_L(t,t';\{q\})$, we define a function $K_L(t,t';\{q\})$ as follows

$$K_L(t,t';\{q\}) = D(t')R_L(t,t';\{q\}) \tag{44}$$

where the $D(t)$ super-derivative is defined by eq. (13). The vacuum correlator (37) of the scalar superfields is given by

$$4\hat{X}_{L,L'}(t,\overline{t};t',\overline{t}';\{q\}) = R_L(t,t';\{q\}) + \overline{R_{L'}(t,t';\{q\})} + I_{LL'}(t,\overline{t};t',\overline{t}';\{q\})\,, \tag{45}$$

$$I_{LL'}(t,\overline{t};t',\overline{t}';\{q,\bar{q}\}) = [J_s(t;\{q\};L) + \overline{J_s(t;\{q\};L')}]$$
$$\times[\Omega_{L,L'}(\{q,\overline{q}\})]^{-1}_{sr}[J_r(t';\{q\};L) + \overline{J_r(t';\{q\};L')}] \tag{46}$$

where $\Omega_{L,L'}(\{q,\overline{q}\})$ is

$$\Omega_{L,L'}(\{q,\overline{q}\}) = 2\pi i[\overline{\omega(\{q\},L')} - \omega(\{q\},L)]. \tag{47}$$

As usual, the Green function at $t = t'$ is defined as $R_L(t,t;\{q\}) - \ln(z - z' - \vartheta\vartheta')$ at $t = t'$. The dilaton emission amplitude includes the vacuum pairing $\tilde{I}_{L,L'}(t,\bar{t};\{q\})$ of the superfields in front of the exponential in (40) as follows

$$\tilde{I}_{L,L'}(t,\bar{t};\{q,\bar{q}\}) = 2D(t)D(\overline{t}')I_{L,L'}(t,\overline{t};t',\overline{t}';\{q\})|_{t=t'} \tag{48}$$

where definitions are given in (45) and in (46). The dilaton-vacuum transition constant is found by the integration of (48) with respect to t taken over the fundamental region of the super-Schottky group. Integrating by parts and using the relations (42), one obtains that the integral is equal to n. Hence the dilaton-vacuum transition genus-n constant is equal to the multiplied by n vacuum amplitude.

At zeroth (μ_s,ν_s)-parameters, the Green functions $R_L(t,t';\{q\})$ and $K_L(t,t';\{q\})$ are reduced to $R_L(t,t';\{q\}_0)$ and, respectively, to $K_L(t,t';\{q\}_0)$ that are written down in the terms of the boson Green function $R_b(z,z';\{q\}_0)$ and of the $R_{fL}(z,z';\{q\}_0)$ fermion Green function as follows

$$R_L(t,t';\{q\}_0) = R_b(z,z';\{q\}_0) - \vartheta\vartheta' R_{fL}(z,z';\{q\}_0)\,,$$
$$K_L(t,t';\{q\}_0) = \partial_{z'}R_b(z,z';\{q\}_0)\vartheta' + \vartheta R_f(z,z';\{q\}_0)\,. \tag{49}$$

The boson Green function [9, 10, 12, 23] can be represented as follows

$$R_b(z,z';\{q\}_0) = \ln(z - z') + \sum_{\Gamma}\ln\Big(\frac{[z - g_\Gamma(z')]}{[z - g_\Gamma(\infty)]}\Big)\,. \tag{50}$$

Therefore, $R_b(z,z';\{q\}_0) = R_b(z',z;\{q\}_0) \pm 2\pi i$. Furthermore, $R_b(z,z';\{q\}_0)$ differs only in scalar zero mode from the usual $\ln E(z,z')$ expression [2] where $E(z,z')$ is the prime form. So,

$$\partial_z\partial_{z'}R_b(z,z';\{q\}_0) = \partial_z\partial_{z'}E(z,z')\,. \tag{51}$$

The fermion Green function $R_{fL}(z,z';\{q\}_0)$ for the even spin structure (l_1,l_2) is as follows [12]

$$R_{fL}(z,z';\{q\}_0) = \exp\{\frac{1}{2}[R_b(z,z;\{q\}_0) + R_b(z',z';\{q\}_0)] - R_b(z,z';\{q\}_0)\}\frac{\Theta[L](\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{z}'|\omega)}{\Theta[L](0|\omega)} \tag{52}$$

where $\Theta[L](\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{z}'|\omega)$ is the theta function, $L = (l_1,l_2)$ being its characteristics, and $\mathbf{z}$ is related to z by the Jacobi mapping. The function $R_b(z,z;\{q\}_0)$ at $z' = z$ is defined as the limit of $R_b(z,z';\{q\}_0) - \ln(z - z')$ at $z \to z'$. The Green function (52) coincides with the fermion Green function [2] given by

$$R_{fL}(z,z';\{q\}_0) = \frac{\Theta[L](\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{z}'|\omega)}{E(z,z')\Theta[L](0|\omega)}\,. \tag{53}$$

If (μ_s, ν_s)-parameters are present, then vacuum correlators in the Neveu-Schwarz sector are obtained by a "naive" supersymmetrization [10, 22, 23] of the corresponding expressions at zeroth (μ_s, ν_s)-parameters. In the Ramond sector the fermions are agitated with bosons under twists as about B_s-cycles, so about A_s-ones. Then vacuum correlators are obtained by the "naive" supersymmetrization [12] only for the genus-1 surface when Grassmann parameters (μ_s, ν_s) are not moduli. In this case (μ_s, ν_s) can be reduced to zero by going to $(z_s|\vartheta_s)$ variables (7). The genus-1 functions $R^{(1)}_{Ls}(t_1, t_2)$ and $K^{(1)}_{Ls}(t_1, t_2)$ is expressed through the genus-1 functions $R_b(z^{(s)}_1, z^{(s)}_2; \{q_s\}_0)$ and $R_{fL_s}(z, z'; \{q_s\}_0)$ at $\mu_s = \nu_s = 0$ as follows

$$R^{(1)}_{Ls}(t_1, t_2) = R_b(z^{(s)}_1, z^{(s)}_2; \{q_s\}_0) - \vartheta^{(s)}_1\vartheta^{(s)}_2 R_{fL_s}(z^{(s)}_1, z^{(s)}_2; \{q_s\}_0)$$
$$-\varepsilon'_s\vartheta^{(s)}_2\Xi(z_2; \{q_s\}_0) + \vartheta^{(s)}_1\varepsilon'_s\Xi(z_1; \{q_s\}_0)\,, \tag{54}$$
$$K^{(1)}_{Ls}(t_1, t_2) = D(t_2)R^{(1)}_{Ls}(t_1, t_2) \tag{55}$$

where $z^{(s)}_i$ and $\vartheta^{(s)}_i$ for $i = (1, 2)$ are related with z and ϑ by (7), and

$$\Xi(z'; \{q_s\}_0) = (z - z')R_{fL_s}(z, z'; \{q\}_0)|_{z\to\infty}\,. \tag{56}$$

Two last terms on the right side of (54) hold decreasing $K_{L_s}(t_1, t_2; \{q_s\})$ at $z_1 \to \infty$ and at $z_2 \to \infty$. Otherwise $R_{Ls^{(1)}}(t_1, t_2)$ is obtained by the transformation (7) of $R_{L_s}(t_1, t_2; \{q_s\}_0)$. For the even spin structures, both $R_b(z_1, z_2; \{q_s\}_0)$ and $R_{fL_s}(z^{(s)}_1, z^{(s)}_2; \{q_s\}_0)$ are given by (50) and, respectively, by (52) taken in the genus-1 case. The odd spin-structure $R_{fL_s}(z^{(s)}_1, z^{(s)}_2; \{q_s\}_0)$ function is given in [12].

The calculation of the vacuum correlators on the higher genus supermanifolds has been given in [12] where the proportional to (μ_s, ν_s) terms of the correlators have been represented through genus-1 functions. Now we calculate these terms through genus-n functions at zeroth Grassmann (μ_s, ν_s)-parameters.

To do so, we derive equations calculating $R_L(t, t'; \{q\})$ in terms of $R_b(z, z'; \{q\}_0)$ and of $R_{fL}(z, z'; \{q\}_0)$. For the sake of simplicity, we suppose that Schottky circles do not overlap each other.

We start with the identity[4]

$$K_L(t, t'; \{q\}) = -\oint D(t_1)R(t, t_1; \{q\}_0)\frac{dz_1\, d\vartheta_1}{2\pi i}K_L(t_1, t'; \{q\}) \tag{57}$$

where $D(t_1)$ is defined in (13) and the integration is performed in the positive direction along the infinitesimal contour surrounding z_1-point. The contour is deformed into the sum of the C_s contours. The C_s contour surrounds the Schottky circles C_{v_s} and C_{u_s} as well as the cut between u_s and v_s (for the Ramond handle). Then, by the Schottky transformations (1), the integral over every C_s contour is reduced to to the integral along the C_{v_s} circle and along the cut. It is convenient to represent $K_L(t, t'; \{q\})$ as follows

$$K_L(t, t'; \{q\}) = K_{bL}(z, t'; \{q\}) + \vartheta K_{fL}(z, t'; \{q\})\,. \tag{58}$$

[4]Throughout the paper $\int d\vartheta\vartheta = 1$

Transforming (57) to the integration over C_s contours and reducing every integral over C_s to the integral over C_{v_s}-contour (4) and over the cut (when $l_{1s} = 1/2$), one is verified that $K_{bL}(z,t';\{q\})$ and $K_{fL}(z,t';\{q\})$ are written down as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{bL}(z,t';\{q\}) = \partial_{z'}R_b(z,z';\{q\}_0)\vartheta' + \sum_s \int_{C_{v_s}} \partial_{z_1}R_b(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\Delta^{(s)}_{bL}(z_1,t';\{q\}) \\
+ \int_{z_{v_s}}^{z_{u_s}} \partial_{z_1}R_b(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\Delta^{(s-)}_{bL}(z_1,t';\{q\})\,, \\
K_{fL}(z,t';\{q\}) = R_{fL}(z,z'\{q\}_0) - \sum_s \int_{C_{v_s}} R_{fL}(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\Delta^{(s)}_{fL}(z_1,t';\{q\}) \\
- \int_{z_{v_s}}^{z_{u_s}} R_f(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\Delta^{(s-)}_{fL}(z_1,t';\{q\}) \qquad (59)
\end{aligned}$$

where z_{v_s} lays on the C_{v_s} circle and $z_{u_s} = g_s(z_{v_s})$. The integration around C_{v_s} is performed in the positive direction starting from z_{v_s}. The integrand contains the change of $K_L(t,t';\{q\})$ under the $\Gamma^{(0)}_{b,s}(l_{2s})$ transformation (1) which is represented as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{bL}(g_s(z),t';\{q\}) = K_{bL}(z,t';\{q\}) + D(t')J_s(t') + \Delta^{(s)}_{bL}(z,t';\{q\})\,, \\
-(-1)^{2l_{2s}}\sqrt{g_s'(z)}K_f(g_s(z),t';\{q\}) = K_{fL}(z,t';\{q\}) + \Delta^{(s)}_{fL}(z,t';\{q\})\,. \qquad (60)
\end{aligned}$$

In the Ramond case ($l_{1s} = 1/2$) the integrand contains also the change of $K_L(t,t';\{q\})$ under the $\Gamma^{(0)}_{a,s}(l_{1s})$-transformation (1). Then the $K_{bL}(z,t';\{q\})$ and $K_{bL}(z,t';\{q\})$ functions turn out into $K^{(s-)}_{bL}(z,t';\{q\})$ and $K^{(s-)}_{fL}(z,t';\{q\})$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
K^{(s-)}_{bL}(z,t';\{q\}) = K_{bL}(z,t';\{q\}) + \Delta^{(s-)}_{bL}(z,t';\{q\})\,, \\
-K^{(s-)}_{fL}(z,t';\{q\}) = K_{fL}(z,t';\{q\}) + \Delta^{(s-)}_{fL}(z,t';\{q\})\,. \qquad (61)
\end{aligned}$$

In (59), there is taken into account that

$$\int_{C_{v_s}} \partial_{z_1}R_b(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i} = 0\,. \qquad (62)$$

To calculate the right side of (60), it is useful to represent $K(t^{(b0)}_s,t';\{q\})$ for as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
K(t^{(b0)}_s,t';\{q\}) = K(t^{(b)}_s,t';\{q\}) - (z^{(b)}_s - z^{(b0)}_s)D^2(t^{(b)}_s)K(t^{(b)}_s,t';\{q\}) \\
-(\vartheta^{(b)}_s - \vartheta^{(b0)}_s)[D(t^{(b)}_s)K(t^{(b)}_s,t';\{q\}) - \vartheta^{(b)}_s D^2(t^{(b)}_s)K(t^{(b)}_s,t';\{q\})] \qquad (63)
\end{aligned}$$

where it is employed that $D^2(t) = \partial_z$. Due to (12), eq. (63) is represented as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
K(t^{(b0)}_s,t';\{q\}) = K(t,t';\{q\}) + D(t')J_s(t';\{q\};L) \\
-(z^{(b)}_s - z^{(b0)}_s)Q_{\Gamma_{b,s}}(t)D(t)Q_{\Gamma_{b,s}}(t)D(t)K(t,t';\{q\}) \\
-(\vartheta^{(b)}_s - \vartheta^{(b0)}_s)[Q_{\Gamma_{b,s}}(t)D(t)K(t,t';\{q\}) \\
-\vartheta^{(b)}_s Q_{\Gamma_{b,s}}(t)D(t)Q_{\Gamma_{b,s}}(t)D(t)Q_{\Gamma_{b,s}}(t))K(t,t';\{q\})] \qquad (64)
\end{aligned}$$

where (41) and (44) are also employed. The right side of (60) is calculated directly from (64) as follows

$$\Delta^{(s)}_{bL}(z,t';\{q\}) = \varepsilon_s(z)\epsilon_s(z)\partial_z K_b(z,t';\{q\}) - \epsilon_s(z)K_f(z,t'\{q\})$$
$$\Delta^{(s)}_{fL}(z,t';\{q\}) = -\epsilon_s(z)\partial_z K_b(z,t';\{q\}) +$$
$$\varepsilon_s(z)\epsilon_s(z)\partial_z K_f(z,t';\{q\}) + [(-1)^{2l_{2s}}(c_s z + d_s) + 1]\varepsilon\varepsilon' K_f(z,t';\{q\})\,. \quad (65)$$

The right side of (61) is calculated in the kindred manner, it being found as follows

$$\Delta^{(-s)}_{fL}(z,t';\{q\}) = 2\varepsilon_s(z)\partial_z K_{bL}(z,t';\{q\}) + 2\varepsilon_s\varepsilon'_s K_{fL}(z,t';\{q\})\,,$$
$$\Delta^{(s-)}_{bL}(z,t';\{q\}) = 2\varepsilon_s(z)K_f(z,t';\{q\})\,. \quad (66)$$

It is useful to note that (66) can be derived from (65) by the $k_s = 1$, $l_{2s} = 0$ setting.

Using (65) and (66), one can prove that (59) is equivalent to the following equations

$$K_{bL}(z,t';\{q\}) = \partial_{z'}R_b(z,z';\{q\}_0)\vartheta' + \sum_s \int_{C_s} \partial_{z_1}R_b(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\varepsilon_s(z_1)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}K_{fL}(z_1,t';\{q\})\,,$$
$$K_{fL}(z,t';\{q\}) = R_{fL}(z,z'\{q\}_0) - \sum_s \int_{C_s} R_{fL}(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\varepsilon_s(z_1)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\partial_{z_1}K_{bL}(z_1,t';\{q\}) \quad (67)$$

where the integration is performed in the positive direction along the C_s contour, which surrounds the Schottky circles C_{u_s} and C_{v_s} as well as the cut (if $l_{1s} \neq 0$) between u_s and v_s. Eqs. (67) gives $K_{bL}(z,t';\{q\})$ and $K_{fL}(z,t';\{q\})$ in the form of series over (μ_s,ν_s).

In line with (58), the superscalar Green function $R_L(t,t';\{q\})$ are represented as follows

$$R_L(t,t';\{q\}) = R_{bL}(z,t';\{q\}) - \vartheta R_{fL}(z,t';\{q\})\,. \quad (68)$$

Equations for $R_{bL}(t,t';\{q\})$ and for $R_{fL}(t,t';\{q\})$ are derived directly from (67) to be

$$R_{bL}(z,t';\{q\}) = R_b(z,z';\{q\}_0) - \sum_s \int_{C_s} \partial_{z_1}R_b(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\varepsilon_s(z_1)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}R_{fL}(z_1,t';\{q\})\,,$$
$$R_{fL}(z,t';\{q\}) = R_{fL}(z,z'\{q\}_0)\vartheta + \sum_s \int_{C_s} R_{fL}(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\varepsilon_s(z_1)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\partial_{z_1}R_{bL}(z_1,t';\{q\})\,. \quad (69)$$

The equations for the superscalar function $J_r(t;\{q\};L)$ in (41) are obtained in the quite kindred way starting with the representation of $J_r(t;\{q\};L)$ by the integral along the contour that surrounds the z point. The integral is obtained from (57) by the $K_l(t,t';\{q\}) \to J_r(t;\{q\};L)$ replacement. Further, $J_r(t;\{q\};L)$ is represented as follows

$$J_r(t;\{q\};L) = J_{br}(z;\{q\};L) + \vartheta J_{fr}(z;\{q\};L)\,. \quad (70)$$

Under the transformation (1) the superscalar function is changed as follows

$$J_{br}(g_s(z);\{q\};L) = J_{br}(z,;\{q\};L) + 2\pi i\omega_{mr}(\{q\};L) + \varepsilon_s(z)\epsilon_s(z)\partial_z J_{br}(z,t;\{q\};L)$$
$$-\epsilon_s(z)J_{fr}(z,t\{q\};L)\,,$$
$$J_{fr}(g_s(z),t';\{q\}) = J_{fr}(z;\{q\};L) - \epsilon_s(z)\partial_z J_{br}(z;\{q\};L) + \varepsilon_s(z)\epsilon_s(z)\partial_z J_{fr}(z;\{q\};L)$$
$$+[(-1)^{2l_{2s}}(c_s z + d_s) + 1]\varepsilon\varepsilon' J_{fr}(z;\{q\};L) \quad (71)$$

and under the $\Gamma_{a,s}(l_{1s})$ transformation (1), in the Ramond case,

$$J_{fr}^{(s-)}(z;\{q\};L) = J_{fr}(z;\{q\};L) + 2\varepsilon_s(z)\partial_z J_{br}(z;\{q\};L) + 2\varepsilon_s\varepsilon_s' J_{fr}(z;\{q\};L)\,,$$
$$J_{br}^{(s-)}(z;\{q\};L) = J_{br}(z;\{q\};L) + 2\pi i\delta_{rs} + 2\varepsilon_s(z) J_{fr}(z;\{q\};L)\,. \qquad (72)$$

The desired equations are derived like (67) They are found as follows

$$J_{br}(z;\{q\};L) = J_r(z;\{q\}_0) + \sum_s \int_{C_s} \partial_{z_1} R_b(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\varepsilon_s(z_1)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i} J_{fr}(z_1;\{q\};L)\,,$$
$$J_{fr}(z;\{q\};L) = -\sum_s \int_{C_s} R_{fL}(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\varepsilon_s(z_1)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\partial_{z_1} J_{br}(z_1;\{q\};L) \qquad (73)$$

where $J_r(z;\{q\}_0)$ is the scalar function having the periods given by the $\omega_{mr}(\{q\}_0)$ period matrix. The C_s contour is the same as in (67). In the explicit form [9, 10, 12, 23]

$$J_r(z;\{q\}_0) = \sum_\Gamma{}' \ln\frac{z - g_\Gamma(u_r)}{z - g_\Gamma(v_r)} \quad , \qquad (74)$$

u_r v_r being the limiting points of the Schottky transformation $g_r(z)$. The summation is performed over all the group products Γ except those whose rightmost is a power of g_r. The $\omega_{mr}(\{q\};L)$ period matrix are obtained by the $z \to g_m(z)$ transformation of both sides of (73). In this case z-point must be moved inside the C_m contour. Due to the pole at $z = z_1$, the integrated term in (73) arises, and (73) appears to be

$$J_{br}(z;\{q\};L) = J_r(z;\{q\}_0) - \varepsilon_m(z) J_{fr}(z;\{q\};L)$$
$$+\sum_s \int_{C_s} \partial_{z_1} R_b(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\varepsilon_s(z_1)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i} J_{fr}(z_1;\{q\};L)\,,$$
$$J_{fr}(z;\{q\};L) = -\varepsilon_m(z)\partial_z J_{br}(z;\{q\};L)$$
$$-\sum_s \int_{C_s} R_{fL}(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\varepsilon_s(z_1)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\partial_{z_1} J_{br}(z_1;\{q\};L)\,, \qquad (75)$$

The condition that (75) is consistent with (71) determines the period matrix as follows

$$2\pi i\omega_{mr}(\{q\};L) = 2\pi i\omega_{mr}(\{q\}_0) + \sum_s \int_{C_s} \partial_z J_m(z;\{q\}_0)\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\varepsilon_s(z) J_{fr}(z;\{q\};L)\,. \qquad (76)$$

If Schottky circles overlap each other, then the vacuum correlator and associated with it quantities are obtained by an analytic continuation in the Schottky parameters of the foregoing expressions. In the process, the singularities of the analytic continued expressions are moved on the complex plane of the integrated variables that causes a moving of the integration contours. The integration contours are moved in such a way that the singularities do not cross the integration contour. As the result, the integration contours in the discussed expressions are, generally, deformed. Otherwise the foregoing expressions remain to be the same

5 Ghost superfield correlator

The superfield C in (38) and in (39) has discontinuity [12] under twists about non-contractible cycles on the Riemann surface. It is a peculiarity of the scheme [12] which, among other things, calculates the B-superfield zero-mode contribution to the integration measure. In this case the $G_{gh}(t,t';\{q\})$ function in (38) is changed under $t\to t_s^{(p)}=(z_s^{(p)}|\vartheta_s^{(p)})$ transformations (9) and (11) as follows ($p=a,b$)

$$G_{gh}(t_s^{(p)},t';\{q\})=Q^{-2}_{\Gamma_{p,s}}(t)\Big(G_{gh}(t,t';\{q\})+{\sum_{N_s}}'Y_{p,N_s}(t)\tilde{\chi}_{N_s}(t';\{q\})\Big) \tag{77}$$

where summation is performed over parameters $N_s=(k_s,u_s,v_s,\mu_s,\nu_s)$ excepting those (3|2) parameters which are fixed due to SL_2-symmetry. Further, $\tilde{\chi}_{N_s}$ are superconformal 3/2-zero modes, and Y_{p,N_s} are polynomials of degree 2 in (z,ϑ) as follows

$$Y_{p,N_s}(t)=Q^2_{\Gamma_{p,s}}\Big[\frac{\partial g_s^p}{\partial q_{N_s}}+\vartheta_s^p\frac{\partial\vartheta_s^p}{\partial q_{N_s}}\Big]. \tag{78}$$

The $G_{gh}(t,t';\{q\})$ function can be represented using a Green function G(t,t';{q}) which is changed under $t\to t_s^{(p)}=(z_s^{(p)}|\vartheta_s^{(p)})$ transformations (9) and (11) as follows ($p=a,b$)

$$G(t_s^{(p)},t';\{q\})=Q^{-2}_{\Gamma_{p,s}}(t)\Big(G(t,t';\{q\})+\sum_{N_s}Y_{p,N_s}(t)\chi_{N_s}(t';\{q\})\Big) \tag{79}$$

where summation is performed over all the super-Schottky group parameters N_s which are assigned to the s-handle. Further, $\chi_{N_s}(t';\{q\})$ is a superconformal 3/2-supertensor having a singularity at $z'\to\infty$. Then

$$G_{gh}(t,t';\{q\})=G(t,t';\{q\})-\sum_{N_0,N_0'}Y_{b,N_0}(t)A^{-1}_{N_0N_0'}\chi_{N_0'}(t') \tag{80}$$

where summation is performed over those (3|2) super-Scottky group parameters that are fixed by $SL(2)$-symmetry, and the $A_{N_0,N_0'}$ matrix is determined from the condition that $G_{gh}(t,t';\{q\})$ satisfies to (77). The $Y_{p,N_s}(t)$ polynomials are given in [12] and in Appendix A of this paper.

All the (μ_s,ν_s) parameters being equal to zero, $G(t,t';\{q\})$ is reduced to $G(t,t';\{q\}_0)$ given in the terms of the boson Green function $G_b(z,z';\{q\}_0)$ and of the fermion Green function $G_f(t,t';\{q\}_0)$ as follows

$$G(t,t';\{q\}_0)=G_b(z,z';\{q\}_0)\vartheta'+\vartheta G_f(z,z';\{q\}_0). \tag{81}$$

The boson Green function $G_b(z,z';\{q\}_0)$ is given by [12]

$$G_b(z,z';\{q\}_0)=-\sum_\Gamma\frac{1}{[z-g_\Gamma(z')][c_\Gamma z'+d_\Gamma]^4} \tag{82}$$

where the summation is performed over all the group products Γ of the Schottky group basic elements. The fermion Green function $G_f(z,z';\{q\}_0)$ does not represented by a Poincaré series. It is calculated through the Green function $G_\sigma(z,z';\{q\}_0)$ given by

$$G_\sigma(z,z';\{q\}_0)=\sum_\Gamma\frac{\exp[\Omega_\Gamma(\{\sigma_s\})+\sum_s 2l_{1s}\sigma_s(J_s(z;\{q\}_0)-J_s(z';\{q\}_0))]}{[z-g_\Gamma(z')][c_\Gamma z'+d_\Gamma]^3} \tag{83}$$

where $\sigma_s = \pm 1$ and $\Omega_\Gamma(\{\sigma_s\})$ is defined as

$$\Omega_\Gamma(\{\sigma_s\}) = -\pi i[\sum_{s,r} 2l_{1s}\sigma_s\omega_{sr}(\{q\}_0)n_r(\Gamma) + \sum_r (2l_{2r}-1)n_r(\Gamma)]\,, \tag{84}$$

$n_r(\Gamma)$ being the number of times that the Γ_r generators are present (for its inverse $n_r(\Gamma)$ is negative). So, $G_\sigma(z,z';\{q\}_0)$ depends on a choice of the $\{\sigma_s\}$ set. The change of $G_\sigma(z,z';\{q\}_0)$ under the $z \to g_r(z)$ Schottky transformation (1) is as follows

$$G_\sigma(g_r(z),z';\{q\}_0) = \frac{(-1)^{2l_{2r}-1}}{(c_r z+d_r)}\Big(G_\sigma(z,z';\{q\}_0) + \sum_{\alpha_r=\mu_r,\nu_r} \tilde{Y}_{\sigma,\alpha_r}(z)\Phi_{\sigma,\alpha_r}(z';\{q\}_0)\Big) \tag{85}$$

where $\Phi_{\sigma,\alpha_r}(t';\{q\}_0)$ is 3/2-tensor and $\tilde{Y}_{\sigma,\alpha_r}(z)$ for $\alpha_r = (\mu_r,\nu_r)$ is given by

$$\tilde{Y}_{\sigma,\alpha_r}(z) = \exp[\pi i \textstyle\sum_s 2l_{1s}\sigma_s J_s(z;\{q\}_0)]Y^{(0)}_{b,\alpha_r}(z)\,, \tag{86}$$

$Y^{(0)}_{b,\alpha_r}(z)$ being given by eq. (A.5) of Appendix A. Moreover, $\Phi_{\sigma,\alpha_r}(z';\{q\}_0)$ is found to be

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\sigma,\mu_s}(z;\{q\}_0) &= \grave{N}_{\mu_s}c_s\Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\Phi^{(1)}_{\sigma,s}(z;\{q\}_0) + \Phi^{(2)}_{\sigma,s}(z;\{q\}_0)\Big]e^{-2\pi i\sum_r l_{1r}\sigma_r J_r(z)}\,,\\ \Phi_{\sigma,\nu_s}(z;\sigma) &= \grave{N}_{\nu_s}c_s\Big[\sqrt{k}\Phi^{(1)}_{\sigma,s}(z;\{q\}_0) + \Phi^{(2)}_{\sigma,s}(z;\{q\}_0)\Big]e^{-2\pi i\sum_r l_{1r}\sigma_r J_r(z)}\end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

where

$$\grave{N}_{\mu_s} = \frac{(-1)^{2l_{2s}-1}\sqrt{k}_s}{2[1-(-1)^{2l_{2s}-1}\sqrt{k}_s]}\,, \qquad \grave{N}_{\nu_s} = \frac{1}{2[-1+(-1)^{2l_{2s}-1}\sqrt{k}_s]} \tag{88}$$

and

$$\Phi^{(1)}_{\sigma,s}(z;\{q\}_0) = \sum_g \frac{e^{\Omega_g(\sigma)}}{Q^2_{g_s g}(z)Q_g(z)}\,, \qquad \Phi^{(2)}_{\sigma,s}(z;\{q\}_0) = \sum_g \frac{e^{\Omega_g(\sigma)}}{Q_{g_s g}(z)Q^2_g(z)} \tag{89}$$

where summation is performed over all the elements g of the Schottky group.

To calculate $G_f(z,z';\{q\}_0))$, we represent it as the integral over z'' along the infinitesimal contour around z', the integrand being $G_\sigma(z,z'';\{q\}_0))G_f(z'',z';\{q\}_0))$. Running this contour away, we obtain $G_f(z,z';\{q\}_0))$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}G_f(z,z';\{q\}_0)) = G_\sigma(z,z';\{q\}_0)) - \sum_{s=1}^n\sum_{\alpha_s}\Big(&\int_{C_{v_s}} G_\sigma(z,z'';\{q\}_0)Y^{(0)}_{b,\alpha_s}(z'')\frac{dz''}{2\pi i}\\ &+ \int_{z_{v_s}}^{z_{u_s}} G_\sigma(z,z'';\{q\}_0)Y^{(0)}_{a,\alpha_s}(z'')\frac{dz''}{2\pi i}\Big)\chi_{\alpha_s}(z';\{q\}_0)\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

where z_{v_s}, z_{u_s} and C_{v_s} are the same as in (59), and $\alpha_s = (\mu_s,\nu_s)$. The 3/2-tensor $\chi_{\alpha_s}(z';\{q\}_0))$ is calculated from condition that the change of $G_f(z,z';\{q\}_0)$ under 2π-twist about B_s-cycle is given by (79) at zero values of the odd Schottky parameters. Hence

$$\Phi_{\sigma,\alpha_s}(z;\{q\}_0)) = \sum_{\alpha_r=\mu_r,\nu_r} \widetilde{M}_{\alpha_s,\alpha_r}(\{\sigma\})\chi_{\alpha_r}(z;\{q\}_0)) \tag{91}$$

where

$$\widetilde{M}_{\alpha_s,\beta_r}(\{\sigma\}) = \int_{C_{v_r}} \Phi_{\sigma,\alpha_s}(z;\{q\}_0))Y^{(0)}_{b,\beta_r}(z)\frac{dz}{2\pi i} + \int_{z_{v_r}}^{z_{u_r}} \Phi_{\sigma,\alpha_s}(z;\{q\}_0))Y^{(0)}_{a,\beta_r}(z)\frac{dz}{2\pi i}. \tag{92}$$

Using eq.(A.6) from Appendix A, one can re-written down eq. (90) as follows

$$G_f(z,z';\{q\}_0)) = G_\sigma(z,z';\{q\}_0)) - \sum_{s=1}^{n}\sum_{\alpha_s}\int_{C_s} G_\sigma(z,z'';\{q\}_0)Y^{(0)}_{\alpha_s}(z'')\frac{dz''}{2\pi i} \tag{93}$$

where $Y^{(0)}_{N_s}(z)$ is given by eqs. (A.9) of Appendix A. The C_s contour is the same as in (67). Correspondingly, (92) is re-written down as follows

$$\widetilde{M}_{\alpha_s,\beta_r}(\{\sigma\}) = \int_{C_r} \Phi_{\sigma,\alpha_s}(z;\{q\}_0))Y^{(0)}_{\beta_r}(z)\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\,. \tag{94}$$

Calculating the integration measure in (35), we shall employ an expression for $G(t,t';\{q\})$ given through ancillary Green functions $S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\})$ that have no discontinuity being twisted about A_s-cycles, and satisfy the conditions

$$S_\sigma(t^b_s,t';\{q\}) = Q^{-2}_{\Gamma_{b,s}}(t)\Big(S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\}) + \sum_{N_s}\hat{Y}^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_s}(t)\Psi_{\sigma,N_s}(t';\{q\})\Big) \tag{95}$$

where $N_s = (k_s,u_s,v_s,\mu_s,\nu_s)$, and $\Psi_{\sigma,N_s}(t';\{q\})$ is the 3/2-supertensor. The $\hat{Y}^{(1)}_{b,N_s}(t)$ function at $N_s = (k_s,u_s,v_s)$ are equal to $Y^{(0)}_{b,N_s}(t^{(s)})Q^{-2}_{\hat{\Gamma}_s}(t^{(s)})$ where $t^{(s)} = (z^{(s)}|\vartheta^{(s)})$ is given by (7), and $Y^{(0)}_{b,N_s}(t)$ are defined by (A.5) of Appendix A. If $N_s = (\mu_s,\nu_s)$, then $\hat{Y}^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_s}(t)$ is

$$\hat{Y}^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_s}(t) = \vartheta^{(s)}\tilde{Y}^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_s}(z^{(s)})Q^{-2}_{\hat{\Gamma}_s}(t^{(s)}) \tag{96}$$

where

$$\tilde{Y}^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_s}(z) = Y^{(0)}_{b,N_s}(z)\exp[l_{1s}\sigma_s J^{(1)}_s(z)]\,, \qquad J^{(1)}_s(z) = \ln\frac{z-u_s}{z-v_s}\,. \tag{97}$$

The $G(t,t';\{q\})$ function in eq.(79) is calculated through $S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\})$ as follows

$$G(t,t';\{q\}) = S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\}) - \sum_{s=1}^{n}\sum_{N_s}\sum_{p=a,b}\int_{C^{(s)}_p} S_\sigma(t,t_1;\{q\})\frac{dz_1 d\vartheta_1}{2\pi i}Y_{p,N_s}(t_1)\chi_{N_s}(t';\{q\}) \tag{98}$$

where $C^{(s)}_b = \hat{C}_{v_s}$ and the integration along $C^{(s)}_a$ is performed between two congruent points, one of them being on $\hat{C}_{v_s}$ (therefore, the second point lays on $\hat{C}_{u_s}$). The $\hat{C}_{v_s}$ and $\hat{C}_{u_s}$ contours are given by (15). The deriving of (98) is similar to the deriving of (90). In this case we begin with integral over z'' along the infinitesimal contour around z', the integrand being $S_\sigma(z,z'';\{q\}_0))G_f(z'',z';\{q\}_0))$. From condition that the change of $G(t,t';\{q\})$ under 2π-twist about B_s-cycle is given by (79), the relation arises as follows

$$\Psi_{\sigma,N_s}(t;\{q\}) = \sum_{N_r} M_{N_s,N_r}(\{\sigma\};\{q\})\chi_{N_r}(t;\{q\}) \tag{99}$$

where $\Psi_{\sigma,N_s}(t;\{q\})$ is the same as in in (95) and the $M_{N_s,N_r}(\{\sigma\};\{q\})$ entry of the $M(\{\sigma\};\{q\})$ matrix is given by

$$M_{N_s,N_r}(\{\sigma\};\{q\}) = \sum_{p=a,b}\int_{C_p^{(r)}} \Psi_{\sigma,N_s}(t;\{q\}))\frac{d\vartheta dz}{2\pi i}Y_{p,N_r}(t) \tag{100}$$

where $C_p^{(r)}$-contour is the same as in (98). In line with Appendix A,

$$M(\{\sigma\};\{q\}) = M^{(r)}(\{\sigma\};\{q\})\widehat{T} \tag{101}$$

where $\widehat{T}$ is given by (A.2). The $M^{(r)}_{N_s,N_r}(\{\sigma\};\{q\})$ entry of the $M^{(r)}(\{\sigma\};\{q\})$ matrix is as follows

$$M^{(r)}_{N_s,N_r}(\{\sigma\};\{q\}) = \sum_{p=a,b}\int_{C_p^{(r)}} \Psi_{\sigma,N_s}(t;\{q\}))\frac{d\vartheta dz}{2\pi i}Y^{(r)}_{p,N_r}(t) \tag{102}$$

where $Y^{(r)}_{p,N_s}$ is given by (A.5) and $C_p^{(r)}$-contour is defined just as in (98). Due to eq. (A.11) of Appendix A, $M^{(r)}_{f,b}(\{\sigma\};\{q\}) = 0$ and $M^{(r)}_{b,b'}(\{\sigma\};\{q\}) = \delta_{bb'}$. In this case $(b,b') = (k_s,u_s,v_s)$ and $f = (\mu_r,\nu_r)$. Eq. (102) for$N_r = \alpha_r = (\mu_r,\nu_r)$ can be re-written down as follows

$$M^{(r)}_{N_s,\alpha_r}(\{\sigma\};\{q\}) = -\int_{C_r} \Psi_{\sigma,N_s}(t;\{q\}))\frac{d\vartheta dz}{2\pi i}Y^{(r)}_{\alpha_r}(t) \tag{103}$$

where $Y^{(r)}_{\alpha_r}(t)$ is defined by eq. (A.8) and C_r-contour is defined as in (67).

Due to (A.6) and (A.13) from Appendix A, eq. (98) is re-written down as follows

$$G(t,t';\{q\}) = S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\}) + \sum_{s=1}^{n}\sum_{\alpha_s}\sum_{N_r}\int_{C_s} S_\sigma(t,t_1;\{q\})\frac{dz_1 d\vartheta_1}{2\pi i}Y^{(r)}_{\alpha_s}(t_1)\widehat{T}_{\alpha_s N_r}\chi_{N_r}(t';\{q\}) \tag{104}$$

If all (μ_s,ν_s) are nullified, then $S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\})$ is reduced to $S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\}_0)$ as follows

$$S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\}_0) = G_b(z,z';\{q\}_0)\vartheta' + \vartheta S_{(f)\sigma}(z,z';\{q\}_0) \tag{105}$$

where $G_b(z,z';\{q\}_0)$ is given by (82) and

$$S_{(f)\sigma}(z,z';\{q\}_0) = G_{(\sigma)}(z,z';\{q\}_0) - \sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{N_r=\mu_r,\nu_r}\int_{C_b^{(r)}} G_\sigma(z,z'';\{q\}_0)\frac{dz''}{2\pi i}\tilde{Y}^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_r}(z'') \times\Psi_{\sigma,N_r}(z';\{q\}_0) \tag{106}$$

where $\tilde{Y}^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_r}(z)$ is defined by (97) and $G_\sigma(z,z'';\{q\}_0)$ is given by (85). In this case

$$\Phi_{\sigma,N_s}(z;\{q\}_0) = \sum_{N_r=\mu_r,\nu_r}\hat{M}_{N_s,N_R}(\{\sigma\})\Psi_{\sigma,N_r}(z;\{q\}_0) \tag{107}$$

where

$$\hat{M}_{N_s,N_R}(\{\sigma\}) = \int_{C_b^{(r)}}\Phi_{\sigma,N_s}(z;\{q\}_0)\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\tilde{Y}^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_r}(z) \tag{108}$$

and $C_b^{(r)}$-contour is defined as in (90). At (μ_s,ν_s) being non-zeroth, the genus-1 $S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\})$ function is equal to $S^{(1)}_{\sigma,s}(t_1,t_2;\{q_s\})$ given by [12]

$$S^{(1)}_{\sigma,s}(t_1,t_2;\{q_s\}) = Q^{-2}_{\tilde\Gamma_s}(t_1^{(s)})\Big[G_b(z_1^{(s)},z_2^{(s)};\{q_s\}_0)\vartheta_2^{(s)} + \vartheta_1^{(s)}G_\sigma(z_1^{(s)},z_2^{(s)};\{q_s\}_0) \\ +\varepsilon'_s\Sigma_\sigma(z_2^{(s)};\{q_s\}_0)\Big]Q^3_{\tilde\Gamma_s}(t_2^{(s)}) \qquad (109)$$

where $(z^{(s)}|\vartheta^{(s)})$ is defined by (7), the $Q_{\tilde\Gamma_s}$ factor is defined by (13) and

$$\Sigma_\sigma(z_2;\{q_s\}_0) = z_1 G_\sigma(z_1,z_2;\{q_s\}_0)|_{z_1\to\infty}\,. \qquad (110)$$

Apart from the last term, $S_\sigma(t_1,t_2;\{q_s\})$ is obtained by the $\tilde\Gamma_s$ transformation (7) of (83) in the genus-1 case. The last term is added for $S_\sigma(t_1,t_2;\{q_s\})$ to be decreased at $z_1\to\infty$ or $z_2\to\infty$.

To calculate $S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\})$ on the higher-genus supermanifolds one starts with the representation of $S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\})$ by the integral like (57) as follows

$$S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\}) = -\oint S_\sigma(t,t_1;\{q\})_0)\frac{dz_1\,d\vartheta_1}{2\pi i}S_\sigma(t_1,t';\{q\})\,, \qquad (111)$$

the integration being performed in the positive direction along the infinitesimal contour surrounding z_1-point. In addition, $S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\})$ is represented like (58) as follows

$$S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\}) = S^{(b)}_\sigma(z,t';\{q\}) + \vartheta S^{(f)}_\sigma(z,t';\{q\})\,, \qquad (112)$$

Like (57), the contour in (111) is reduced to the sum of the C_s contours. The integral over every C_s contour is reduced to the integral along the C_{v_s} circle and (in the Ramond case) along the side of the cut as follows

$$S^{(b)}_\sigma(z,t';\{q\}) = S^{(b)}_\sigma(z,t';\{q\}_0)\vartheta' + \sum_s\int_{C_{v_s}} S^{(b)}_\sigma(z,t_1;\{q\}_0)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\,\widetilde\Delta^{(s)}_{b\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) \\ + \int_{z_{v_s}}^{z_{u_s}} S^{(b)}_\sigma(z,t';\{q\}_0)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\,\widetilde\Delta^{(s-)}_{b\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) \\ S^{(f)}_\sigma(z,t';\{q\}) = S^{(f)}_\sigma(z,t';\{q\}_0) - \sum_s\int_{C_{v_s}} S^{(f)}_\sigma(z,t';\{q\}_0)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\,\widetilde\Delta^{(s)}_{f\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) \\ - \int_{z_{v_s}}^{z_{u_s}} S^{(f)}_\sigma(z,t';\{q\}_0)\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\,\widetilde\Delta^{(s)}_{f\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) \qquad (113)$$

where z_{v_s} lays on the C_{v_s} circle and $z_{u_s} = g_s(z_{v_s})$. Furthermore,

$$g'(z)\widetilde\Delta^{(s)}_{b\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) = S^{(b)}_\sigma(g_s(z),t';\{q\}) - g'(z)S^{(b)}_\sigma(z,t';\{q\})\,, \\ -(-1)^{2l_{2s}}\sqrt{g'(z)}\widetilde\Delta^{(s)}_{f\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) = S^{(f)}_\sigma(g_s(z),t';\{q\}) + (-1)^{2l_{2s}}\sqrt{g'(z)}S^{(f)}_\sigma(z,t';\{q\})\,, \\ \widetilde\Delta^{(s-)}_{b\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) = S^{(b,s-)}_\sigma(z,t';\{q\}) - S^{(b)}_\sigma(z,t';\{q\})\,, \\ (-1)^{2l_{1s}}\widetilde\Delta^{(s-)}_{f\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) = S^{(f,s-)}_\sigma(z,t';\{q\}) - (-1)^{2l_{1s}}S^{(f)}_\sigma(z,t';\{q\}) \qquad (114)$$

where $\widetilde{\Delta}^{(s-)}_{b\sigma}(z,t';\{q\})$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}^{(s-)}_{f\sigma}(z,t';\{q\})$ coreespond to $\Gamma^{(0)}_{a,s}(l_{1s})$-transformation (1). Moreover,

$$S^{(b)}_{\sigma}(g_s(z),t';\{q\}) = g'(z)\acute{S}^{(b)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) + \Delta^{(s)}_{b\sigma}(z,t';\{q\})\,,$$
$$-(-1)^{2l_{2s}}\sqrt{g'(z)}S^{(f)}_{\sigma}(g_s(z),t';\{q\}) = g'(z)\acute{S}^{(f)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) + \Delta^{(s)}_{f\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) \quad (115)$$

where $\acute{S}^{(b)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\})$ and $\acute{S}^{(f)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\})$ is defined, as follows

$$g'_s(z)[\acute{S}^{(b)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) + \vartheta\acute{S}^{(f)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\})] = Q^{-2}_{\Gamma_{b,s}}(t)\Big(S_{\sigma}(t,t';\{q\}) + \sum_{N_s}\hat{Y}^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_s}(t)\Psi_{\sigma,N_s}(t')\Big)\,. \quad (116)$$

Further,

$$\Delta^{(s)}_{b\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) = \varepsilon_s(z)\epsilon_s(z)\partial_z[g'_s(z)\acute{S}^{(b)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\})] - \epsilon_s(z)g'_s(z)\acute{S}^{(f)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\})$$
$$\Delta^{(s)}_{f\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) = -\epsilon_s(z)\partial_z[g'_s(z)\acute{S}^{(b)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\})] +$$
$$\varepsilon_s(z)\epsilon_s(z)\partial_z[g'_s(z)\acute{S}^{(f)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\})] + [(-1)^{2l_{2s}}(c_sz+d_s)+1]\varepsilon\varepsilon' g'_s(z)\acute{S}^{(f)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\})\,, \quad (117)$$

cf.(65). In the Ramond case the terms due to $\Gamma^{(0)}_{a,s}(l_{1s})$-transformation (1) are present in (113) and in (114) being found to be

$$S^{(b,s-)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) = g'(z)\acute{S}^{(b,s-)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) + \Delta^{(s-)}_{b\sigma}(z,t';\{q\})\,,$$
$$-S^{(f,s-)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) = \acute{S}^{(f,s-)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) + \Delta^{(s-)}_{f\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) \quad (118)$$

where $\acute{S}^{(b,s-)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\})$ and $\acute{S}^{(f,s-)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\})$ is defined, as follows

$$\acute{S}^{(b,s-)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) + \vartheta\acute{S}^{(f,s-)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) = Q^{-2}_{\Gamma_{a,s}}(t)S_{\sigma}(t,t';\{q\})\,. \quad (119)$$

where $Q_{\Gamma_{a,s}}(t)$ is assigned to $\Gamma^{(0)}_{a,s}(l_{1s})$-transformation (1), and

$$\Delta^{(-s)}_{fL}(z,t';\{q\}) = 2\varepsilon_s(z)\partial_z\acute{S}^{(b,s-)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\}) + 2\varepsilon_s\varepsilon'_s\acute{S}^{(f,s-)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\})\,,$$
$$\Delta^{(s-)}_{bL}(z,t';\{q\}) = 2\varepsilon_s(z)\acute{S}^{(f,s-)}_{\sigma}(z,t';\{q\})\,. \quad (120)$$

Eq. (A.13) at zeroth (μ_s,ν_s)-parameters and above-listed relations being employed, it can be verified that eqs. (113) are equivalent to the equations

$$S^{(b)}_{\sigma}(z,t_1;\{q\}) = G_b(z,z';\{q\}_0)\vartheta' + \sum_j\int_{C_j}\frac{dz'}{2\pi i}G_b(z,z';\{q\}_0)\varepsilon_j(z')S^{(f)}_{\sigma}(z',t_1;\{q\})\,,$$
$$S^{(f)}_{\sigma}(z,t_1;\{q\}) = S^{(f)}_{\sigma}(z,z';\{q\}_0) - \sum_j\int_{C_j}\frac{dz'}{2\pi i}S^{(f)}_{\sigma}(z,z';\{q\}_0)$$
$$\times[-2\varepsilon'_j + \varepsilon_j(z')\partial_{z'}]S^{(b)}_{\sigma}(z',t_1;\{q\})\,. \quad (121)$$

To obtain 3/2-supertensors in (95)) the the $z\to g_m(z)$ transformation of both sides of (121) is performed. In this case z-point must be moved inside the C_m contour. Due to the pole at

$z = z_1$, the integrated term in (73) arises, cf. the deriving of (76). The desired 3/2-supertensors are found as follows

$$(1+\varepsilon_s\varepsilon'_s)\Psi_{\sigma;n_s}(t;;\{q\}) = \chi_{n_s}(z;\{q\}_0)\vartheta + \sum_j \int_{C_j}\frac{dz'}{2\pi i}\chi_{n_s}(z';\{q\}_0)\varepsilon_j(z')S^{(f)}_\sigma(z',t;\{q\})\,,$$

$$(1-\frac{3}{2}\varepsilon_s\varepsilon'_s)\Psi_{\sigma;\alpha_s}(t;\{q\}) = \Big[\Psi_{\sigma;\alpha_s}(z;\{q\}_0) - \sum_j\int_{C_j}\frac{dz'}{2\pi i}\Psi_{\sigma;\alpha_s}(z';\{q\}_0)$$

$$\times[-2\varepsilon'_j + \varepsilon_j(z')\partial_{z'}]S^{(b)}_\sigma(z',t;\{q\})\Big] \quad (122)$$

where $n_s = (k_s, u_s, v_s)$, $\alpha_s = (\mu_s, \nu_s)$ and $\chi_{\alpha_n}(z';\{q\}_0)$ is defined by (79) taken at zero Grassmann parameters.

6 Integration measure

The integration measures (partition functions) $Z_{L,L'}(\{q,\overline{q}\})$ in (35) are obtained from equations [12, 15] which provide the independence of the superstring amplitudes from local variations of the vierbein and of the gravitino field. The equations relate derivatives of $Z_{L,L'}(\{q,\overline{q}\})$ via moduli with the superfield vacuum correlators. Due to a separation in right and left movers, the integration measure in (35) is represented as

$$Z_{L,L'}(\{q,\overline{q}\}) = (4\pi)^{5n}[\det\Omega_{L,L'}(\{q,\overline{q}\})]^{-5}Z_L(\{q\})\overline{Z_{L'}(\{q\})} \quad (123)$$

where $Z_L(\{q\})$ is a holomorphic function of q and $\Omega_{L,L'}(\{q,\overline{q}\})$ is given by (47).

The holomorphic measure $Z_L(\{q\})$ is a product

$$Z_L(\{q) = Z_{m,L}(\{q\})Z_{gh,L}(\{q\}) \quad (124)$$

where $Z_{m,L}(\{q\})$ is due to the matter superfields and $Z_{gh,L}(\{q\})$ is due to the ghosts ones. The results of [12] being employed, equations for $Z_{m,L}(\{q)$ and $Z_{gh,L}(\{q)$ can be given as follows[5]

$$\partial_{q_s}\ln Z_{m,L}(\{q\}) = -5\sum_{p=a,b}\int_{C_p^{(s)}}\frac{dz\,d\vartheta}{2\pi i}\partial_z K_L(t,t';\{q\})|_{t=t'}Y_{p,q_s}(t)\,, \quad (125)$$

$$\partial_{q_s}\ln Z_{gh,L}(\{q\}) = \sum_{p=a,b}\int_{C_p^{(s)}}\frac{dz\,d\vartheta}{2\pi i}\Big[E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(G(t,t;\{q\}))$$

$$-\sum_{N_s}\chi_{N_s}(t)\partial_{N_s}Y_{p,q_s}(t)(-1)^{\eta(N_s)\eta(q_s)}\Big] \quad (126)$$

where $C_p^{(s)}$-contour is defined just as in (98). Furthermore, $G(t,t;\{q\}) = G(t,t';\{q\}) - (\vartheta - \vartheta')(z-z')^{-1}$ at $t = t'$ and $\partial_z K_L(t,t';\{q\})$ at $t = t'$ is defined as $\partial_z[K_L(t,t';\{q\}) - (\vartheta-\vartheta')/(z-z')]$ at $t = t'$. In the last term on the right side of (126) the summation is performed over the

[5]Throughout the paper the derivatives with respect to modular parameters are treated as the derivatives on the right.

set $N_s = (k_s, u_s, v_s, \mu_s, \nu_s)$. In addition, $\eta(N_s) = 1$ for $N_s = (\mu_s, \nu_s)$, and $\eta(N_s) = 0$ for $N_s = (k_s, u_s, v_s)$. For any function $F(t)$, the $E^{(d)}_{p,N_s}(F(t))$ expression is given [12] by

$$E^{(d)}_{p,N_s}(F(t)) = \frac{d}{2}F(t)\partial_z Y_{p,N_s}(t) + \frac{\hat{\epsilon}(F)}{2}[D(t)F(t)]D(t)Y_{p,N_s}(t) + [\partial_z F(t)]Y_{p,N_s}(t) \tag{127}$$

where $\hat{\epsilon}(F) = 1$, if F obeys the fermion statistics and $\hat{\epsilon}(F) = -1$ in the opposite case. By definition,

$$E^{(d)}_{p,N_s}(F(t,t)) = E^{(d)}_{p,N_s}(F(t,t'))|_{t'=t}\,. \tag{128}$$

Further, if

$$F_d(t)\Big|_{t\to\Gamma_{p,s}(t)} = Q^d_{\Gamma_{p,s}}(t)[F_d(t) + \Delta_F(t)]\,, \tag{129}$$

$\Delta_F(t)$ being a certain function, then $\partial_{N_s}F_d(t)$ is changed as

$$\partial_{N_s}F_d(t)\Big|_{t\to\Gamma_{p,s}(t)} = Q^d_{\Gamma_{p,s}}(t)\Big[\partial_{N_s}\Big(F_d(t) + \Delta_F(t)\Big) - E^{(d)}_{p,N_s}(F_d(t)) - E^{(d)}_{p,N_s}(\Delta_F(t))\Big]\,. \tag{130}$$

Eq. (130) is an extension of the corresponding relation given in [12] for the case when $\Delta_F(t) \equiv 0$. Due to (104), the $G^{(r)}(t,t;\{q\})$ function in (126) is expressed through $S^{(r)}_\sigma(t,t';\{q\})$. Then the first term on the right side of (126) appears to be

$$E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(G(t,t;\{q\})) = E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(S_\sigma(t,t;\{q\})) + \sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{N_r}\int_{C_r} E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}\Big(S_\sigma(t,t_1;\{q\})\frac{dz_1 d\vartheta_1}{2\pi i} \times Y_{N_r}(t_1)\chi_{N_r}(t;\{q\})\Big). \tag{131}$$

Eq.(131) being substituted in (126), the order of integration over t and t_1 being interchanged, the first term in (126) is found to be as follows

$$\sum_{p=a,b}\int_{C^{(s)}_p}\frac{dz\,d\vartheta}{2\pi i}E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(S_\sigma(t,t;\{q\})) + \sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{N_r}\sum_{p=a,b}\int_{C^{(s)}_p}\frac{dz\,d\vartheta}{2\pi i}\chi_{N_r}(t;\{q\})E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(Y_{N_r}(t)) - \sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{N_r}\int_{C_r}Y_{N_r}(t_1)\frac{dz_1 d\vartheta_1}{2\pi i}\sum_{p=a,b}\int_{C^{(s)}_p}\frac{dz\,d\vartheta}{2\pi i}\chi_{N_r}(t;\{q\})E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(S_\sigma(t,t_1;\{q\}))\,. \tag{132}$$

The second term in (132) is due to the pole at $z = z_1$ in $S_\sigma(t,t_1;\{q\})$.

To calculate the first term in (132) we represent $S_\sigma(t,t_1;\{q\})$ through the genus-1 functions $S^{(1)}_{\sigma,s}(t,t')$ where $S^{(1)}_{\sigma,s}(t,t')$ is associated with the handle s. We denote $S^{(1)}_{\sigma,s}(t,t')$ as $S^{(1)}_{\sigma,sr}$, if t is inside C_s contour and t' is inside the C_r one. Further, we introduce an integration matrix operator $\hat{S}^{(1)}_\sigma = \{\hat{S}^{(1)}_{\sigma,sr}\}$. For $s \neq r$, the $\hat{S}^{(1)}_{\sigma,sr}$ operator performs the integration over t' along C_r-contour, the kernel being $S^{(1)}_{\sigma,sr}(t,t')$. And $\hat{S}^{(1)}_{\sigma,ss} = 0$. For z and z' both being inside C_s-contour, $S_\sigma(t,t') \equiv S_{\sigma,ss}$ is written down as follows [12]

$$S_{\sigma,ss} = S^{(1)}_{\sigma,ss} + \sum_n \hat{S}^{(1)}_{\sigma,sn}(I - \hat{S}^{(1)}_\sigma)^{-1}_{ns}S^{(1)}_{\sigma,ss} \tag{133}$$

If z' is inside C_s, then 3/2-supertensor $\Psi_{\sigma,N_s}(t';\{q\}) \equiv \Psi_{\sigma,N_s}$ in (95) can be written down as follows [12]

$$\Psi_{\sigma,N_s} = \Psi^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_s} + \sum_{n\neq s} \hat{\Psi}^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_s n}(I - \hat{S}^{(1)}_{\sigma})^{-1}_{ns} S^{(1)}_{\sigma,ss} \tag{134}$$

where the $\hat{\Psi}^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_s n}$ operator, its kernel being $\Psi^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_s}(t_1)dt_1$, performs the integration over t_1 along C_n-contour, and $\Psi^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_s}(t_1)$ is the 3/2-supertensor of the genus-1.

Contribution to (132) of the second term on the right side of (133) contains an expression

$$\sum_{p=a,b} \int_{C^{(s)}_p} S^{(1)}_{\sigma,s}(t_s,t)dt E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(S^{(1)}_{\sigma,s}(t,t_n)) \tag{135}$$

which is calculated through $\partial_{q_s} S^{(1)}_{\sigma,s}(t_s,t_n)$ by the relation

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{q_s} S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\}) = &\sum_{p=a,b} \int_{C^{(s)}_p} S_\sigma(t,t_1;\{q\})dt_1 E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(S_\sigma(t_1,t';\{q\})) \\ &+ \int_{C^{(s)}_b} S_\sigma(t,t_1;\{q\})dt_1 \sum_{M_s}\Big[E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(Y^{(1)}_{M_s}(t_1)\Psi_{\sigma;M_s}(t';\{q\})) \\ &-\partial_{N_s}\Big(Y^{(1)}_{M_s}(t_1)\Psi_{\sigma;M_s}(t';\{q\})\Big)\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{136}$$

Eq.(136) follows from the identity

$$\partial_{N_s} S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\}) = \int S_\sigma(t,t_1;\{q\})\, dt_1 \partial_{N_s} S_\sigma(t_1,t';\{q\}) \tag{137}$$

where the integration is performed along a contour surrounding z-point. Deforming the contour on the boundary of the fundamental region, eqs.(95) and (130) being used, one obtains eq. (136). Employing eq. (136) for $S^{(1)}_{\sigma,s}(t,t')$, one obtains the first term in (132) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{p=a,b} \int_{C^{(s)}_p} \frac{dz\, d\vartheta}{2\pi i} E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(S_\sigma(t,t;\{q\}) = &\sum_{p=a,b} \int_{C^{(s)}_p} \frac{dz\, d\vartheta}{2\pi i} E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(S^{(1)}_{\sigma,s}(t,t)) \\ &+ \int_{C^{(s)}_b} \sum_{M_s} (-1)^{\eta(M_s)+1}\Big(\Psi_{\sigma;M_s}(t;\{q\}) - \Psi^{(1)}_{\sigma;M_s}(t)\Big)dt \\ &\times\Big(\partial_{q_s} Y^{(1)}_{M_s}(t) - E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(Y^{(1)}_{M_s}(t))\Big) + \partial_{q_s} trace \ln(1-\hat{S}^{(1)}_{\sigma})\,.\end{aligned} \tag{138}$$

To simplify the last term in (132), one employs the relation

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{p=a,b} \int_{C^{(s)}_p} \chi_{N_r}(t;\{q\}) \frac{dz\, d\vartheta}{2\pi i} E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(S_\sigma(t,t_1;\{q\})) + \int_{C^{(s)}_b} \chi_{N_r}(t;\{q\}) \frac{dz\, d\vartheta}{2\pi i} \\ \times \sum_{N_s} E^{(-2)}_{b,q_s}(Y^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_s}(t)\Psi_{\sigma,N_s}(t_1;\{q\})) \\ - \sum_n \sum_{N_n} \int_{C^{(n)}_b} \chi_{N_r}(t;\{q\}) \frac{dz\, d\vartheta}{2\pi i} \partial_{q_s}\Big(Y^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_n}(t)\Psi_{\sigma,N_n}(t_1;\{q\})\Big) = 0\end{aligned} \tag{139}$$

Eq.(139) follows from the identity

$$0=\int\chi_{N_r}(t;\{q\})\frac{dz\,d\vartheta}{2\pi i}\partial_{N_s}S_\sigma(t,t_1;\{q\}) \tag{140}$$

where the integration is performed along any closed contour laying in the fundamental region. Deforming the contour on the boundary of the fundamental region, eqs.(95) and (130) being used, one obtains eq. (139). Then two last terms in (132) can be represented as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{p=a,b}\int_{C_p^{(s)}}\sum_{N_s}(-1)^{\eta(N_s)+1}\chi_{N_s}(t;\{q\})dt\Big(\partial_{q_s}Y_{p,N_s}(t)-E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(Y_{p,N_s}(t))\Big)\\ +\partial_{q_s}\ln sdetM(\{\sigma\};\{q\})\\ +\sum_{N_s}(-1)^{\eta(N_s)}\int_{C_b^{(s)}}\Psi_{\sigma;N_s}(t;\{q\})dt\Big(\partial_{q_s}Y^{(1)}_{N_s}(t)-E^{(-2)}_{b,q_s}(Y^{(1)}_{N_s}(t))\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{141}$$

where $sdetM(\{\sigma\};\{q\})$ is the superdeterminant [12] of the $M(\{\sigma\};\{q\})$ matrix that is given by (100). Eq. (138) being added, the result is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{p=a,b}\int_{C_p^{(s)}}\frac{dz\,d\vartheta}{2\pi i}E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(S^{(1)}_{\sigma,s}(t,t))+\partial_{q_s}trace\ln(1-\hat S^{(1)}_\sigma)+\partial_{q_s}\ln sdetM(\{\sigma\};\{q\})\\ -\int_{C_b^{(s)}}\sum_{M_s}(-1)^{\eta(M_s)+1}\Psi^{(1)}_{\sigma;M_s}(t)dt\Big(\partial_{q_s}Y^{(1)}_{M_s}(t)-E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(Y^{(1)}_{M_s}(t))\Big)\\ +\sum_{p=a,b}\int_{C_p^{(s)}}\sum_{N_s}(-1)^{\eta(N_s)+1}\chi_{N_s}(t;\{q\})dt\Big(\partial_{q_s}Y_{p,N_s}(t)-E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(Y_{p,N_s}(t))\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{142}$$

Once eq.(142) is substituted in (126), the direct calculation shows that the last term in (142) is canceled by the last term in (126). In so doing eq. (A.10) from Appendix A is used. As the result, eq.(126) appears to be

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{q_s}\ln Z_{gh,L}(\{q\})=\sum_{p=a,b}\int_{C_p^{(s)}}\frac{dz\,d\vartheta}{2\pi i}E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(S^{(1)}_{\sigma,s}(t,t))+\partial_{q_s}trace\ln(1-\hat S^{(1)}_\sigma)\\ +\partial_{q_s}\ln sdetM(\{\sigma\};\{q\})\\ +\int_{C_b^{(s)}}\sum_{M_s}(-1)^{\eta(M_s)}\Psi^{(1)}_{\sigma;M_s}(t)dt\Big(\partial_{q_s}Y^{(1)}_{M_s}(t)-E^{(-2)}_{p,q_s}(Y^{(1)}_{M_s}(t))\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{143}$$

The calculation of the right side of (125) is similar to the calculation of the first term on the right side of (132). In so doing $K_L(t,t_1;\{q\})$ is represented through the genus-1 functions $K^{(1)}_{L,s}(t,t')$ each being assigned to s-handle, see [12]. The integration matrix operator $\hat K^{(1)}_L=\{\hat K^{(1)}_{L,sr}\}$ is defined as follows. For $s\neq r$, the $\hat K^{(1)}_{L,sr}$ operator performs the integration over t' along C_r-contour, the kernel being $K^{(1)}_{L,sr}(t,t')dt'$. And $\hat K^{(1)}_{L,ss}=0$. If the handle has the odd spin structure, then a scalar zero mode $f(t)$ and a spinor zero mode $\varphi=D(t)f(t)$ exist. Correspondingly to this, the diagonal matrix $\hat\varphi=\{\hat\varphi_{sr}\}$ and the integration operator $\hat f=\{\hat f_{sr}\}$ are defined. The matrix elements of this matrix are $\hat\varphi_{ss}=\varphi_s(t)$ and $\hat\varphi_{sr}=0$ for $s\neq r$. The kernel of the $\hat f_{sr}$

operator is unequal to zero only for $s = r$. The $\hat{f}_{ss}$ operator performs the integration over t' along $C_b^{(s)}$-contour, its kernel being $f_s(t')dt'$. It has been shown [12] that (125) is equivalent to the equation

$$\partial_{q_s} \ln Z_{m,L}(\{q\}) = \sum_{p=a,b} \int_{C_p^{(s)}} \frac{dz\, d\vartheta}{2\pi i} \partial_z K_{L,s}^{(1)}(t,t')|_{t=t'} Y_{p,q_s}(t) - 5\partial_{N_r} trace \ln(I - \hat{K}^{(1)} + \hat{\varphi}\hat{f}) \quad (144)$$

The right side of (143) does not depend on the choice of the $\{\sigma\}$ set and, therefore, it can be symmetrize with respect to the $\{\sigma\} \leftrightarrow \{-\sigma\}$ replacement that simplifies the solution of eq. (143). In the calculation of the right side in (143) and in (144) Appendix A is employed. The holomorphic partition function is represented as follows

$$Z_L(\{q\}) = \widetilde{Z}_L(\{q\}) \prod_s (u_s - v_s - \mu_s\nu_s)^{-1} \quad (145)$$

where $\widetilde{Z}_L(\{q\})$ is invariant under the $SL(2)$ transformations because

$$du_s dv_s d\mu_s d\nu_s/(u_s - v_s - \mu_s\nu_s) \quad (146)$$

is $SL(2)$ invariant. Employing the results of [12], one can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Z}_L(\{q\}) = [\det \grave{M}(\sigma,\{q\}) \det \grave{M}(-\sigma,\{q\})]^{-1/2} \Bigg[\prod_s \frac{2^{10l_{1s}} k_s^{l_{1s}} [1-(-1)^{2l_{2s}-1} k_s]^{2l_{1s}}}{k_s^{3/2} [1-(-1)^{2l_{2s}-1}\sqrt{k_s}]^{4l_{1s}}} \\ \times (-1)^{2l_{1s}+2l_{2s}-1} \prod_{m=1} \left[\frac{1-(-1)^{2l_{2s}-1} k_s^m k_s^{(2l_{1s}-1)/2}}{1-k_s^m} \right]^8 \Bigg] \\ \times \exp[\frac{1}{2} trace \ln(1-\hat{S}_\sigma^{(1)}) + \frac{1}{2} trace \ln(1-\hat{S}_{-\sigma}^{(1)}) - 5 trace \ln(1-\hat{K}^{(1)} + \hat{\varphi}\hat{f})] , \end{aligned} \quad (147)$$

entries of $\grave{M}(\sigma,\{q\})$-matrix being $M^{(r)}_{\alpha_s\beta_p}(\sigma,\{q\})$ where α_s runs the (μ_s,ν_s) set and β_p runs the (μ_p,ν_p) set. So $\grave{M}(\sigma,\{q\})$ is submatrix of the $M^{(r)}(\sigma,\{q\})$ matrix (101). Eqs. (125) and (126) calculate every $\widetilde{Z}_L(\{q\})$ apart from a numerical factor which is established from the condition of the cancelation of the singularities at $k_s \to 0$ in $\widetilde{Z}_L(\{q\})$ after the summing over spin structures.

If Grassmann (μ,ν) parameters vanish, then $\widetilde{Z}_L(\{q\}) \equiv \widetilde{Z}_L(\{q\}_0)$ is alternatively calculated using explicit vacuum correlators that allows to simplify expression (147). Taking this in mind, we represent $\widetilde{Z}_L(\{q\})$ as follows

$$\widetilde{Z}_L(\{q\}) = \left(\prod_s (-1)^{2l_{1s}+2l_{2s}-1} \right) \widetilde{Z}_{m,L}(\{q\}_0) \widetilde{Z}_{gh,L}(\{q\}_0) \Upsilon_L(\{q\}) \quad (148)$$

where $\ln \Upsilon_L(\{q\})$ is proportional to Grassmann parameters, $\widetilde{Z}_{m,L}(\{q\}_0)$ is due to the matter fields and $\widetilde{Z}_{gh,L}(\{q\}_0)$ is due to the ghost ones. Both they have been given in [12]. The $Z_{m,L}(\{q\}_0)$ function can also be represented as[6]

$$\widetilde{Z}_{m,L}(\{q\}_0) = \Theta^5[L](0|\omega^{(0)}) \prod_{(k)} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-k^m)^{15}} \quad (149)$$

[6]In this paper $\widetilde{Z}_{m,L}(\{q\}_0)$ and $\widetilde{Z}_{gh,L}(\{q\}_0)$ are given in a more convenient form than in [12]

where $\omega^{(0)} \equiv \omega(\{q\}_0)$ and $\Theta[L](0|\omega^{(0)})$ is the theta-function whose characteristics are $L = (l_1, l_2)$. The product in (149) is calculated over those Schottky group multipliers k which are not powers of the other ones.

In the calculation [12] of $\widetilde{Z}_L(\{q\}_0)$ the $G_f(z, z'; \{q\}_0)$ fermion Green function in (81) is expressed by (83) through $G_\sigma(z, z'; \{q\}_0)$. The $\widetilde{Z}_{gh,L}(\{q\}_0)$ function can be represented as follows

$$\widetilde{Z}_{gh,L}(\{q\}_0) = e^{-\pi i \sum_{r,s} l_{1s} l_{1r} \sigma_s \sigma_r \omega^{(0)}_{rs}} [\det \widetilde{M}_L(\sigma) \det \widetilde{M}_L(-\sigma)]^{-1/2}$$
$$\times \Big(\prod_s \frac{(1-k_s)^2 (-1)^{(2l_{2s}-1+2l_{1s})}}{(1+(-1)^{2l_{2s}}\sqrt{k_s})^2 k_s^{3/2}} \Big) \Big(\prod_{(k)} \prod_{m=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_m(\sigma, k) \mathcal{P}_m(-\sigma, k) \Big)^{-1} \tag{150}$$

where the product is calculated over those Schottky group multipliers k which are not powers of the other ones, $\widetilde{M}_L(\sigma)$ is given by (94), and

$$\mathcal{P}_m(\sigma, k) = \frac{1 - k^{m-1/2} e^{\Omega(\sigma,k)}}{1 - k^m} \,. \tag{151}$$

In this case $\Omega(\sigma, k) \equiv \Omega_{\Gamma_{(k)}}(\{\sigma_p\})$ where $\Omega_{\Gamma_{(k)}}(\{\sigma_p\})$ is given by (84) for a Schottky group product $\Gamma_{(k)}$ whose multiplier is k.

Eq. (149) could be re-written down as

$$\widetilde{Z}^{(m)}_n(\sigma, q_b) = e^{5\pi i \sum_{r,s} l_{1s} l_{1r} \sigma_s \sigma_r \omega^{(0)}_{rs}} \prod_{(k)} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}^5_m(\sigma, k) \mathcal{P}^5_m(-\sigma, k) \,, \tag{152}$$

but the product over k for $m = 1$ may be divergent when the Ramond handles are presented in the spin structure under consideration.

Further, $\det \widetilde{M}_L(\sigma)$ in (150) can be reduced to the determinant of the matrix whose entries are obtained from (94) through a replacement $Y^{(0)}_{N_r}(z)$ by either z, or the unity. It is achieved through a replacement each of $Y^{(0)}_{N_s}(z)$ in (94) by relevant linear combination of them. Meanwhile one employs identities

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n} \int_{C_s} \Phi_{\sigma,\mu_p}(z; \{q\}_0) \frac{z dz}{2\pi i} = \grave{N}_{\mu_p} [\hat{t} + u_p] t_{\mu_p} \,, \sum_{s=1}^{n} \int_{C_s} \Phi_{\sigma,\nu_p}(z; \{q\}_0) \frac{z dz}{2\pi i} = \grave{N}_{\nu_p} [\hat{t} + v_p] t_{\nu_p} \,,$$
$$\sum_{s=1}^{n} \int_{C_s} \Phi_{\sigma,\mu_p}(z; \{q\}_0) \frac{dz}{2\pi i} = \grave{N}_{\mu_p} t_{\mu_p} \,, \quad \sum_{s=1}^{n} \int_{C_s} \Phi_{\sigma,\nu_p}(z; \{q\}_0) \frac{dz}{2\pi i} = \grave{N}_{\nu_p} t_{\nu_p} \tag{153}$$

where $\grave{N}_{\mu_p}$ and $\grave{N}_{\nu_p}$ are given by (88), and

$$\hat{t} = -z \sum_r 2\pi i l_{1r} \sigma_r J_r(z) \Big|_{z \to \infty} \,, \quad t_{\mu_p} = 1 - \frac{e^{-\Omega_p(\{\sigma_s\})}}{\sqrt{k_p}} \,, \quad t_{\nu_p} = 1 - \sqrt{k_p} e^{-\Omega_p(\{\sigma_s\})} \,. \tag{154}$$

In this case $\Omega_p(\{\sigma_s\} \equiv \Omega_\Gamma(\{\sigma_s\})$ at $\Gamma = g_p$ and $\Omega_\Gamma(\{\sigma_s\})$ is given by (84). Identifies (153) follow from the fact that each of integrands in (153) has no singularities out of the integration

contour. Hence the integral is reduced to integral along the infinity large circle. This integral is calculated through the asymptotic at $z \to \infty$ of the 3/2-tensors (87).

It is convenient to extract $\grave{N}_{\mu_p}$ and $\grave{N}_{\nu_p}$ from 3/2-tensors (87) defining new 3/2-tensors $\Phi_{\sigma,\mu_p}(z;\{q\}_0)$ and $\Phi_{\sigma,\mu_p}(z;\{q\}_0)$ by relations

$$\Phi_{\sigma,\mu_p}(z;\{q\}_0) = \grave{N}_{\mu_p}\hat{\Phi}_{\sigma,\mu_p}(z;\{q\}_0)\,, \quad \Phi_{\sigma,\nu_p}(z;\{q\}_0) = \grave{N}_{\nu_p}\hat{\Phi}_{\sigma,\nu_p}(z;\{q\}_0)\,. \tag{155}$$

Employing eqs. (155) and choosing relevant linear combinations of columns in $\widetilde{M}_L(\sigma)$ one can represent $\det \widetilde{M}_L(\sigma)$ in (150) as

$$\det \widetilde{M}_L(\sigma) = \prod_p \Big(\frac{4\grave{N}_{\mu_p}\grave{N}_{\nu_p}}{u_p - v_p}\Big) \det \acute{M}(L;\sigma) \tag{156}$$

where entries $\acute{M}_{r,s}(L;\sigma)$ of $\acute{M}(L;\sigma)$ are as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\acute{M}_{2r-1,2s-1}(L;\sigma) = \int_{C_s} \hat{\Phi}_{\sigma,\mu_r}(z;\{q\}_0) z\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\,, \quad \acute{M}_{2r,2s-1}(L;\sigma) = \int_{C_s} \hat{\Phi}_{\sigma,\nu_r}(z;\{q\}_0) z\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\,,\\
\acute{M}_{2r-1,2s}(L;\sigma) = \int_{C_s} \hat{\Phi}_{\sigma,\mu_r}(z;\{q\}_0) \frac{dz}{2\pi i}\,, \quad \acute{M}_{2r,2s}(L;\sigma) = \int_{C_s} \hat{\Phi}_{\sigma,\nu_r}(z;\{q\}_0) \frac{dz}{2\pi i}\,,\\
\acute{M}_{2r-1,2n}(L;\sigma) = t_{\mu_p}\,, \quad \acute{M}_{2r,2n}(L;\sigma) = t_{\nu_p}\,, \quad \acute{M}_{2r-1,2n-1}(L;\sigma) = u_p t_{\mu_p}\,,\\
\acute{M}_{2r,2n-1}(L;\sigma) = v_p t_{\nu_p}
\end{aligned} \tag{157}$$

where $1 \le r \le n$ and $1 \le s \le (n-1)$.

The $\Upsilon_L(\{q\})$ factor in (148) is obtained from (147) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon_L(\{q\}) = det\frac{\grave{M}(\sigma;\{q\}_0)\grave{M}(-\sigma;\{q\}_0)}{\grave{M}(\sigma;\{q\})\grave{M}(-\sigma;\{q\})} \exp[\frac{1}{2} trace \ln(1-\widehat{\Delta}_\sigma)\\
+\frac{1}{2} trace \ln(1-\widehat{\Delta}_{-\sigma}) - 5 trace \ln(1-\widehat{\Delta}^{(m)})]
\end{aligned} \tag{158}$$

where $\widehat{\Delta}_\sigma$ and $\widehat{\Delta}^{(m)}$ are integral operators. They are formed by the $\{\widehat{\Delta}_{\sigma,p}\}$ set and, respectively, by the $\widehat{\Delta}_p^{(m)}$ set of integral operators. The kernel of the $\widehat{\Delta}_{\sigma,p}$ operator is $\Delta_{\sigma,p}(t,t')dt'$, and the kernel of $\widehat{\Delta}_p^{(m)}$ is $\Delta_p^{(m)}(t,t')dt'$. We again define the kernel together with the differential dt'. These operators perform integrating over t' along the C_p contour. In this case

$$\Delta_p^{(m)}(t,t') = \sum_{r\neq p}\int_{C_r} K_L(t,t_1;\{q\}_0)dt_1\delta K^{(1)}_{L,p}(t_1,t') - \int_{C_p} K_L(t;\{q\}_0)dt_1\delta[\varphi_p(t_1)f_p(t')]\,, \tag{159}$$

$$\Delta_{\sigma,p}(t,t') = \sum_{r\neq p}\int_{C_r} S_\sigma(t;\{q\}_0)dt_1\delta S^{(1)}_{\sigma,p}(t_1,t') \tag{160}$$

where $\delta S^{(1)}_{\sigma,p}(t_1,t')$, $\delta K^{(1)}_{L,p}(t_1,t')$ and $\delta[\varphi_p(t_1)f_p(t')]$ is the proportional to (μ_p,ν_p) part of the corresponding function $S^{(1)}_{\sigma,p}(t_1,t')$, $K^{(1)}_{L,p}(t_1,t')$ or $\varphi_p(t_1)f_p(t')$. The last term on the right side of (159) appears, if $l_{1p} = l_{2p} = 1/2$. From (159) and (160) the exponent in (158) contains genus-1

functions. Apart from notations, eq.(158) has been obtained in [12]. Now we transform (158) to represent the the exponent in (158) through genus-n functions only.

As an example, we consider $trace\ln(1-\widehat{\Delta}^{(m)})$. For the sake of simplicity, we assume no to be the handles with $l_1 = l_2 = 1/2$. In this case

$$\Delta_p^{(m)}(t,t') = \sum_{r\neq p}\int_{C_r}\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\Bigg[-\vartheta R_{f,L}(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\varepsilon_r(z_1)\Big(\partial_{z_1}\partial_{z'}R^{(1)}_{b,p}(z_1,z')\Big)[\vartheta' - \varepsilon_r(z')]$$
$$+\Big(\partial_{z_1}R_b(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\Big)\varepsilon_r(z_1)\Big[R^{(1)}_{f,r}(z_1,z') + \partial_{z'}\Big(R^{(1)}_{f,p}(z_1,z')\varepsilon_r(z')\Big)\vartheta'\Big]\Bigg]. \qquad (161)$$

Therefore,

$$trace\ln(1-\widehat{\Delta}^{(m)}) = \sum_r\int_{C_r}\Bigg[\sum_{p\neq r}\int_{C_p}\Big[\Big(\partial_{z_1}R_b(z_3,z_1;\{q\}_0)\Big)\varepsilon_r(z_1)\partial_{z_3}\Big(R^{(1)}_{f,r}(z_1,z_3)\varepsilon_r(z_3)\Big)$$
$$+R_{f,L}(z_3,z_1;\{q\}_0)\varepsilon_r(z_1)\Big(\partial_{z_1}\partial_z R^{(1)}_{b,r}(z_1,z_3)\Big)\varepsilon_r(z_3)\Big]\frac{dz_3}{2\pi i}\Bigg]\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}$$
$$-\sum_r\int_{C_r}\frac{dz_1}{2\pi i}\sum_{r'}\int_{C_{r'}}\frac{dz_2}{2\pi i}\int_{C[r']}\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\int_{C[r]}\frac{dz'}{2\pi i}\Big(\partial_{z_1}R_b(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\Big)\varepsilon_r(z_1)R^{(1)}_{f,r}(z_1,z')$$
$$\times R_{f,L}(z',z_2;\{q\}_0)\varepsilon_{r'}(z_2)\Big(\partial_{z_2}\partial_z R^{(1)}_{b,r'}(z_2,z)\Big) + \ldots \qquad (162)$$

where the dots denote the terms of the higher order in the Grassmann parameters and the $C[r]$ contour (the $C[r']$ contour) is the sum over $p\neq r$ (the sum over $p\neq r'$) of the C_p contours. In this case the points $z' = z_1$ and $z' = z_2$ are situated inside the $C[r]$ contour. Correspondingly, $z = z_1$ and $z = z_2$ lay inside $C[r']$. Furthermore, if z_1 and z_2 both lay outside the C_r contour, then

$$\int_{C_r}R^{(1)}_{f,r}(z_1,z')R_{f,L}(z',z_2;\{q\}_0)dz' = 0\,,\quad \int_{C_r}\partial_{z_1}R_b(z,z_1;\{q\}_0)\partial_z R^{(1)}_{b,r}(z_2,z)dz = 0\,. \qquad (163)$$

So the integral over z' in (162) is not changed, if the integration contour $C[r]$ is added by the C_r contour drawing in such a way that $z' = z_1$ and $z' = z_2$ are situated outside C_r. Hence the result of the integration with respect to z' is given by residues at those poles of the integrand that lay outside the $C[r]+C_r$ contour. Accordingly, the result of the integration over z is given by the sum over residues at the poles laying outside the $C[r']+C_{r'}$ contour.

In the $r = r'$ case the poles at $z' = z_1$ and at $z' = z_2$ both lay outside the $C[r']+C_{r'}$ contour. In addition, the poles at $z = z_1$ and at $z = z_2$ lay outside the $C[r']+C_{r'}$ contour. The integration over z' and over z being performed, the result is the sum of the terms corresponding to pairs of the poles at $z' = z_j$ and $z = z_l$ where j and l indices each run numbers 1 and 2. In this case the terms due to ($z' = z_1$, $z = z_1$) and ($z' = z_2$, $z = z_2$)are canceled by the first term on the right side of (162). The term due to ($z' = z_2$, $z = z_1$) disappears after integrations over z_1 and over z_2 to be performed. Indeed, in this case all the singularities in (z_1, z_2) of the integrand are to be inside the integration contours C_r and $C_{r'}$. Hence only the term due to

($z' = z_1$, $z = z_2$) contributes to the right side of (162) and only this term contributes to (162), if $r \neq r'$. As the result, the right side of (162) becomes as follows

$$\sum_s \int_{C_s} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \sum_r \int_{C_r} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi i} \partial_z \partial_{z_1} R_b(z, z_1; \{q\}_0) \varepsilon_r(z_1) R_f(z_1, z; \{q\}_0) \varepsilon_s(z) + \dots \tag{164}$$

where the dots code the terms of a higher order in Grassmann parameters. These terms can be rearranged in the same manner as the two-order terms above. The resulted expression is found to be

$$trace \ln(1 - \widehat{\Delta}^{(m)}) = trace \ln(1 + \widehat{K}) \tag{165}$$

where $\widehat{K}$ is formed by the set of the $\widehat{K}_s$ operator. The $\widehat{K}_s$ operator performs the integration over z' along the C_s contour. Its kernel is $\widehat{K}_s(z, z')dz'/(2\pi i)$ where

$$\widehat{K}_s(z, z') = \sum_r \int_{C_r} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi i} \partial_z \partial_{z_1} R_b(z, z_1; \{q\}_0) \varepsilon_r(z_1) R_f(z_1, z'; \{q\}_0) \varepsilon_s(z') \,. \tag{166}$$

The expression $trace \ln(1 - \widehat{\Delta}_\sigma)$ in (158) is rearranged in very kindred manner, the result being as follows

$$trace \ln(1 - \widehat{\Delta}_\sigma) = trace \ln(1 + \widehat{S}_\sigma) \tag{167}$$

where $\widehat{S}_\sigma$ is formed by the set of the $\widehat{S}_{\sigma,s}$ operators. The $\widehat{S}_{\sigma,s}$ operator performs the integration over z' along the C_s contour, its kernel is $\widehat{S}_{\sigma,s}(z, z')dz'/(2\pi i)$ where

$$\widehat{S}_{\sigma,s}(z, z') = \sum_r \int_{C_r} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi i} G_b(z, z_1; \{q\}_0) \varepsilon_r(z_1) S_\sigma(z_1, z'; \{q\}_0) [-2\varepsilon'_s + \varepsilon_s(z') \partial_{z'}] \,. \tag{168}$$

The determinant of $\grave{M}(\sigma; \{q\})/\grave{M}(\sigma; \{q\}_0)$ matrix in (158) is calculated using (102), (122) and (A.4) to be as follows

$$\det \frac{\grave{M}(\sigma; \{q\})}{\grave{M}(\sigma; \{q\}_0)} = \det[1 + \mathcal{M}] \tag{169}$$

and the $\mathcal{M}_{nm}$ entry of the $\mathcal{M}$ matrix is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{nm} = \sum_j \int_{C_j} \frac{dz'}{2\pi i} \chi_{\alpha_n}(z'; \{q\}_0) [-2\varepsilon'_j + \varepsilon_j(z') \partial_{z'}] \int_{C^{(m)}} S^{(b)}_\sigma(z', t_1; \{q\}) dt_1 Y^{(r)}_{\beta_m}(t_1) \tag{170}$$

where α_n runs the (μ_n, ν_n) set and β_m runs the set (μ_m, ν_m). To derive (169), eq. (99) has been employed, $\chi_{\alpha_n}(z'; \{q\}_0)$ being defined by (79) taken at zero Grassmann parameters. If $j = m$, then the integration contour over z' is situated inside the integration contour over z_1.

In configurations where Schottky circles overlap each other the integration measures are obtained by the analytic continuation of the foregoing formulae like as it was discussed in the end of Section 4.

7 Uncertainties of the amplitude

The calculation of the amplitude (35) includes Grassmann integrations as well as integrations over local variables, and the integrand has singularities at that. Such integrations are ambiguous with respect to a non-split replacement of the integration variables. Indeed, in this case the result of the integration is either finite or divergent, depending on a choice of the integration variables as it is seen for an easy integral

$$I_{(ex)} = \int \frac{dxdyd\alpha d\beta d\bar{\alpha}d\bar{\beta}}{|z-\alpha\beta|^p}\theta(1-|z|^2) \tag{171}$$

where $z = x + iy$, (α, β) are Grassmann variables and p characterizes the strength of the singularity. For the sake of simplicity we bound the integration region by $|z|^2 \leq 1$. Eq. (171) is re-written as follows

$$\begin{aligned} I_{(ex)} = \int \frac{dxdy}{|z|^p}\theta(1-|z|^2)\, d\alpha\, d\beta\, d\bar{\alpha}\, d\bar{\beta} + \\ +p^2 \int \frac{dxdy}{4|z|^{p+2}}\theta(1-|z|^2)\alpha\beta\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}\, d\alpha\, d\beta\, d\bar{\alpha}\, d\bar{\beta}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{172}$$

The first integral on the right side is equal to zero since its integrand does not contain Grassmann variables. The second integral is divergent at $z = 0$, if $Re\, p > 0$. On the other side, in (171) one can introduce the $\tilde{z} = z - \alpha\beta$ variable instead of z. Then the Grassmann variables will be present only in the step function $\theta(|\tilde{z} + \alpha\beta|^2)$. Grassmann integrations being performed, the integral is reduced to the integral along the circle $|z|^2 = 1$. Thus for any p the result is finite as follows

$$I_{(ex)} = -\int \frac{d\tilde{x}d\tilde{y}d\alpha d\beta d\bar{\alpha}d\bar{\beta}}{|\tilde{z}|^p}\alpha\beta\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}\left[\delta(|\tilde{z}|^2-1) + |\tilde{z}|^2\frac{d\delta(|\tilde{z}|^2-1)}{d|\tilde{z}|^2}\right] = -\frac{\pi p}{2}\,. \tag{173}$$

So (171) depends on the integration variables. This ambiguity arises because the integrand is expanded in a series over the Grassmann variables in the singular point $z = 0$. If the integral is convergent, then it does not depend on the choice of the integration variables provided that a transition to news integration variables remains it to be convergent. As an example, the integral (171) is equal to (173) at $Re p < 0$ as employing z to be the integration variable, so when the integration variable is $\tilde{z}$. One could, however, replace the integration variable z by $z + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_i \delta_i^{(1)}$ where δ_i and $\delta_i^{(1)}$ are arbitrary Grassmann numbers. When p is not an negative even number, then the resulted integrand has the singularity $\sim |z|^{-(p+2+2N)}$, and the integral is divergent, if $p + 2N > 0$.

The ambiguity in the superstring calculations is resolved by the requirement to preserve local symmetries of the superstring. Then the amplitude must be finite. Otherwise the conformal symmetry is broken because a cutoff parameter appears. In this case the amplitude becomes to depend on $\{N_0\}$ set (18) that falls the theory. Besides, the 10-dim. space-time supersymmetry requires that the vacuum amplitude is equal to zero along with 1-, 2- and 3- point massless boson amplitudes. The proposed amplitude satisfies these conditions.

Due to the singularity (43) at $z = z'$ in the Green function, the vacuum expectation of the interaction vertices in (35) is singular in nodal regions where some number $m_1 > 1$ of the interaction vertices go to the same point z_0. In addition, a certain number of the Schottky group limiting points may be also moved to z_0 that corresponds to a degeneration of the Riemann surface. If $1 < m_1 < (m-1)$ and $m > 3$, then the strength of the singularity depends on the 10-energy invariant corresponding to the given reaction channel. In this case the integral is calculated [21] for those energies (laying below the reaction threshold), where it is convergent. The result is analytically continued to energies that are above the threshold. In this case the amplitude receives singularities required by the unitarity equations. Due to the energy-momentum conservation, there is no a domain of the 10-energy invariants where the integral over all the nodal regions is convergent. Hence the amplitude is obtained by the summing of the pieces obtained by the analytical continuation in the distinct the 10-invariants. For instance, the calculation of the scattering amplitude includes an analytical continuation in s, t and u-Mandelstam's invariants of the integral over a relevant nodal region. Every region contains a pair of the vertices going to each other. Each integral gives rise to the cut in s, t or u. The analytical continuation under consideration is evidently consistent with the local symmetries of the amplitude. Therefore, only configurations where $m_1 = 0$, $m_1 = 1$, $m_1 = (m-1)$ and $m_1 = m$ might lead to divergences in the amplitude.

From (147), the integration measure has a singularity at $k_s \to 0$. As it has been discussed just below eq.(36), the $k_s \to 1$ multipliers are not contained in the integration region. Hence the singularity at $k_s \to 0$ is an only singularity in k_s of the amplitude (35). The leading singularity is $1/(|k_s|^3 \ln^5 |k_s|)$ when $l_{1s} = 0$, but it is canceled in the sum over $l_{2s} = 1$ and $l_{2s} = 0$ spin structures. The resulted singularity is $1/(|k_s|^2 \ln^5 |k_s|)$ that is the integrable singularity. The same singularity arises at $l_{1s} = 1/2$. So, the singularity at $k_s \to 0$ becomes to be integrable due to the summation over $l_{2s} = 1$ and $l_{2s} = 0$ spin structures.

The holomorphic partition function (145) has the $(u_s - v_s - \mu_s\nu_s)^{-1}$ singularity at $u_s \to v_s$. From (149) and from (150), the leading at $u_s \to v_s$ term in the integration measure (123) at zeroth $\{\mu_p, \nu_p\}$-parameters is proportional to the genus-1 integration measure $Z_{L_s,L'_s}(k_s, \bar{k}_s)$ where

$$Z_{L_s,L'_s}(k_s,\bar{k}_s) = \Big(-\frac{2\pi}{\ln|k_s|}\Big)^5 (-1)^{2l_{1s}+2l_{1s}+2l'_{1s}+2l'_{1s}} \Theta^4[l_{1s}, l_{2s}]\Big(0\Big|\frac{\ln k}{2\pi i}\Big)\overline{\Theta^4[l'_{1s}, l'_{2s}]\Big(0\Big|\frac{\ln k}{2\pi i}\Big)} \times\Big(\prod_m^\infty |1-k_s^m|\Big)^{-24}. \quad (174)$$

If no interaction vertices are nearby $v_s \to u_s$, then the local amplitude $\breve{A}_m^{(n)}(\{q,\bar{q}\};\{t,\bar{t}\})$ (the integrand) in (35) is

$$\breve{A}_m^{(n)}(\{q,\bar{q}\};\{z,\bar{z}\}) = \frac{1}{|u_s - v_s - \mu_s\nu_s|^2} \sum_{L_s,L'_s} \mathcal{O}^{(1)}\Big(\frac{\ln k_s}{2\pi i}, -\frac{\ln \overline{k_s}}{2\pi i}\Big) Z_{L_s,L'_s}(k_s,\bar{k}_s) \times\Big[\breve{A}_m^{(n-1)}(\{q,\bar{q}\};\{t,\bar{t}\}) + \ldots\Big] \quad (175)$$

where $\{z,\bar{z}\}$ is the set of the interaction vertex coordinates, $\breve{A}_m^{(n-1)}(\{q,\bar{q}\};\{t,\bar{t}\})$ is the integrand

for the $(n-1)$-loop, m-point amplitude and the step functions $\mathcal{O}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\ln k_s}{2\pi i}, -\frac{\ln \overline{k_s}}{2\pi i}\right)$ bound the fundamental region of the genus-1 modular group [14]. The dots crypt the terms which depend on $(u_s|\mu_s)$ and on $(v_s|\nu_s)$ as well as on their complex conjugative. Being summed either over L_s or over L'_s genus-1 even spin structures, the $Z_{L_s,L'_s}(k_s,\bar{k}_s)$ function (174) is nullified due to the Riemann identities. It is the well known result [21] for the vacuum function of the torus. If either L_s or L'_s the genus-1 spin structure is odd, then (174) is equal to zero by itself. Therefore, the singularity in (175) at $u_s \to v_s$ might appear only because of those 'dots" terms in (175) which depend on both L_s and L'_s genus-1 spin structures.

Questioned terms appear due to the theta-constant in (149) and due to the theta-function in the fermion Green function (52). They are of $\sim (u_s - v_s)^2$ and, therefore, they do not origin the divergence in the amplitude. The questioned terms in (150) are of $\sim (u_s - v_s)^4$, if $l_{1s} = 0$. And they are of $\sim (u_s - v_s)^2$ for the $l_{1s} = 1/2$, $l_{2s} = 0$ spin structure. Linear in $(u_s - v_s)$ terms are absent due to the symmetry with respect to $\sigma_s \to -\sigma_s$. The discussed terms cancel the $\mu_s\nu_s(u_s - v_s)^{-2}$ singularity at $u_s \to v_s$ in (175) and, therefore, they do not origin the divergence in the amplitude. The calculation of $\det \widetilde{M}_L(\sigma)$ in (150) can be performed employing eqs. (156) and (157). The C_s contour in (157) can be moved through a distance of $\rho > \rho_s >> |u_s - v_s|$ where ρ is the distance to the nearest singularity of the integrand. Therefore, the asymptotic in z of the integrand at $|u_s - v_s| << z << \rho$ can be employed in the calculation. The same trick is useful for the calculation of proportional to (μ_s, ν_s) terms in (175). The L_s- and L'_s-dependent terms are found to be of $\sim |u_s - v_s|^2$. Therefore, they do not origin the divergence in the amplitude.

If a single interaction vertex coordinate z also moves to v_s, then additional terms might contribute to the discussed singularity due to the dependent on z vacuum correlator (45). In this case $|z - v_s| \sim |u_s - v_s|$. Indeed, if $|z - v_s| >> |u_s - v_s|$, then by aforesaid, the discussed terms are small as $|u_s - v_s|/|z - v_s|$. Under the discussed conditions the superholomorphic function $R_L(t, t'; \{q\})$ in (45) is given as follows

$$R_L(t,t';\{q\}) = R_{L_{(n-1)}}(\tilde{t},t';\{q\}_{(n-1)}) + \vartheta^{(s)}\Xi(z^{(s)};\{q_s\}_0)\Big[1 - \frac{\mu_s\nu_s}{2(u_s - v_s)}\Big]$$
$$\times\Big[R_{fL_{(n-1)}}(v_s,t';\{q\}_{(n-1)}) + \varepsilon_s(z^{(s)})\partial_{v_s}R_{bL_{(n-1)}}(v_s,t';\{q\}_{(n-1)})\Big] + \ldots \qquad (176)$$

where $\Xi(z^{(s)};\{q_s\}_0)$ is defined by (56) and $\tilde{t} = (v_s|\vartheta)$. In this case $R_{fL_{(n-1)}}(v_s,t';\{q\}_{(n-1)})$ and $R_{bL_{(n-1)}}(v_s,t';\{q\}_{(n-1)})$ are given by (68) on the genus-$(n-1)$ supermanifold which is obtained by removing the handle 's' from the genus-n supermanifold under consideration. Eq. (176) corresponds to eq. (B.1) from Appendix B for the genus-$n_1 = 1$ case where the Green genus-1 function is given by (54). The dots code the terms that appear due to the boson part of (54) and, therefore, they are independent of L_s.

To integrate over t, it is convenient to introduce $t^{(s)} = (z^{(s)}|\vartheta^{(s)})$ as the integration variable. The product of the leading term in the dilaton type pairing (48) by the $\sim \Xi(z^{(s)};\{q_s\}_0)$ term in (176) does not appear in the amplitude because both multipliers are $\sim \vartheta_1^{(s)}$. The bilinear in $\Xi(z^{(s)};\{q_s\}_0)$ term in the amplitude contains the $|u_s - v_s - \mu_s\nu_s|^2/|u_s - v_s|^2$ factor which reduces the $1/|u_s - v_s - \mu_s\nu_s|^2$ singularity to $1/|u_s - v_s|^2$. The singularity of the remaining contributions

from (176) to the amplitude is also not stronger than $1/|u_s - v_s|^2$. The integration over t being performed, the singularity is compensated by the $\sim |u_s - v_s|^2$ smallness of the integration volume. The singularity also disappears at $z^{(s)}$ to be fixed. In this case the singularity disappears due to the Riemann identity that agrees with the nullification of the torus two-point functions [21]. By kindred reasons, the divergence does not appear when $m_1 = (m-1)$ where m_1 is a number of the vertices moving to v_s. If $m_1 = m$, then the resulted expression is proportional to the genus-$(n-1)$ vacuum amplitude. As is verified in the next Section, the arbitrary genus vacuum amplitude is nullified. So, at $m_1 = m$ the considered divergence does not appear, too.

The divergence does not also appear when $|u_s - v_s| << \rho \to \infty$ where ρ is the minimal distance between u_s or v_s and every other limiting point of the Schottky group. Indeed, this configuration is reduced by a relevant $L(2)$ transformation to the above-considered case $u_s \to v_s$.

So the integrand in (35) has no non-integrable singularities. In the next Section, there is verified that divergences in (35) do not also appear owing to the integration over degenerated configurations of higher genuses.

8 Finiteness of the superstring amplitudes

In the case of interest the super-Schottky genus-n group is degenerated into a group product of the lower genus sub-groups. It appears when all the distances between the local limiting points of the given sub-group go to zero. Another configuration is that where distances between the local limiting points of the given sub-group all are nothing like as much as $\rho \to \infty$ where ρ is the minimal distance between the above-mentioned points and the rest limiting points of the genus-n group. Only the first configuration is discussed below because the second configuration is reduced to the first configuration by means of a relevant $L(2)$ transformation. The spin structure of the discussed configuration is supposed to be even. Otherwise its contribution to the amplitude disappears due to the theta-constant factor in (149).

We begin with the case when the genus-n surface is degenerated into a sum of the genus-2 supermanifold and of the genus-$(n-2)$ one. The genus-2 supermanifold is given by transformations (6) corresponding to s- and p-handles. For definiteness, u_s, v_s and u_p limiting points are supposed moving to v_p. We show that the discussed configuration does not origin divergences in the amplitude (35) and that the genus-2 vacuum amplitude is nullified.

For this purpose we integrate in (35) over limiting points assigned to any one from the s and p handles, for example, over $(u_s|\mu_s)$, $(v_s|\nu_s)$ and their complex conjugated. The integrand of the resulted integral is denoted as $\mathcal{A}^n_m(U_p, \overline{U}_p, V_p, \overline{V}_p; \{q', \bar{q}'\}, \{t_j, \bar{t}_j\})$. In this case $\{q'\bar{q}'\}$ is the set of super-Schottky group parameters of the genus-$(n-2)$ supermanifold and $\{t_j, \bar{t}_j\}$ is the same set as in (35). As above, $U_i = (u_i|\mu_i)$ and $V_i = (v_p|\nu_p)$. For the sake of brevity, there is omitted an explicit dependence on the Schottky group multipliers. No interaction vertices being nearby v_p, then

$$\mathcal{A}^n_m(U_p, \overline{U}_p, V_p, \overline{V}_p; \{q', \bar{q}'\}, \{t_j, \bar{t}_j\}) = \mathcal{A}(U_p, \overline{U}_p, V_p, \overline{V}_p)\mathcal{A}^{(n-2)}_m(\{q, \bar{q}\}, \{t_j, \bar{t}_j\}) + \ldots \qquad (177)$$

where $\mathcal{A}(U_p, \overline{U}_p, V_p, \overline{V}_p)$ depends only on the super-Schottky group variables of the genus-2 supermanifold while $\mathcal{A}^{(n-2}_m(\{q, \bar{q}\}, \{t_j, \bar{t}_j\})$ is the integrand of the integral (35) for the $(n-2)$-

loop, m-point amplitude. The 'dots" crypt the correction terms. In this case

$$\mathcal{A}(U_p,\overline{U}_p,V_p,\overline{V_p})=\int d^2u_s\,d\mu_s\,d\bar{\mu}_s\theta(\Lambda-|u_s-v_p|)\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(U_p,V_p,\overline{U_p},\overline{V_p},U_s,\overline{U_s})\,. \tag{178}$$

where $\Lambda << \rho$ and ρ is the minimal distance from the genus-2 configuration to the remaining genus-$(n-2)$ part of the genus-n supermanifold. In turn, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(U_p,V_p,\overline{U_p},\overline{V_p},U_s,\overline{U_s})$ is as follows

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(U_p,V_p,\overline{U_p},\overline{V_p},U_s,\overline{U_s})=\int\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_2(U_s,U_p,\overline{U}_s,\overline{U}_p,V_s,V_p,\overline{V}_s,\overline{V}_p)d^2v_sd\nu_sd\bar{\nu}_s \tag{179}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_2(U_s,U_p,\overline{U}_s,\overline{U}_p,V_s,V_p,\overline{V}_s,\overline{V}_p)$ is obtained from (35) at $n=2$ by removing of the factor (18) and of the interaction vertex product. By the previous Section, the integral (179) is convergent at $v_s\to u_s$. Since the genus-1 function is nullified, only the $|v_s-v_p|\sim|u_p-v_p|$ region contributes to (179). So there is no necessity to introduce a cutoff restricting the size of the integrated configuration. Hence (179) has an explicit symmetry under those $SL(2)$ transformations which are independent of the spin structure. In particular, (179) is invariant under the super-boost transformation of its arguments. The super-boost transformation $(z|\vartheta)\to(\tilde{z}|\tilde{\vartheta})$ is defined as follows

$$z=\tilde{z}+z_0+\tilde{\vartheta}\vartheta_0\,,\quad \vartheta=\tilde{\vartheta}+\vartheta_0\,, \tag{180}$$

where z_0 and ϑ_0 are transformation parameters. Therefore, (179) depends on differences between u_s, u_p and v_p. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(U_p,V_p,\overline{U_p},\overline{V_p},U_s,\overline{U_s})$ can be written down through certain functions $\psi_{mn}(r_p,w_p,\overline{r}_p,\overline{w}_p)$ of $r_p=(u_p-u_s)$ and of $w_p=(u_p-v_p-\mu_p\nu_p)$, and through Grassmann variables as follows

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(U_p,V_p,\overline{U_p},\overline{V_p},U_s,\overline{U_s})=\sum_{m=1}^{3}\sum_{n=1}^{3}\mathcal{V}_m\overline{\mathcal{V}}_n\psi_{mn}(r_p,w_p,\overline{r}_p,\overline{w}_p) \tag{181}$$

where

$$\mathcal{V}_1=\mu_s\mu_p\nu_p,\quad \mathcal{V}_2=(\mu_p-\mu_s),\quad \mathcal{V}_3=(\nu_p-\mu_s)\,. \tag{182}$$

The right part of (181) to be invariant under transformation (180) with $\vartheta_0\neq 0$, it requires that

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{11}(r_p,w_p,\overline{r}_p,\overline{w}_p)&=\partial_{u_s}\partial_{\bar{u}_s}\psi_{33}(r_p,w_p,\overline{r}_p,\overline{w}_p)\,,\\
\psi_{13}(r_p,w_p,\overline{r}_p,\overline{w}_p)&=\partial_{u_s}\psi_{33}(r_p,w_p,\overline{r}_p,\overline{w}_p)\,,\\
\psi_{31}(r_p,w_p,\overline{r}_p,\overline{w}_p)&=\partial_{\bar{u}_s}\psi_{33}(r_p,w_p,\overline{r}_p,\overline{w}_p)\,,\\
\psi_{12}(r_p,w_p,\overline{r}_p,\overline{w}_p)&=\partial_{u_s}\psi_{32}(r_p,w_p,\overline{r}_p,\overline{w}_p)\,,\\
\psi_{21}(r_p,w_p,\overline{r}_p,\overline{w}_p)&=\partial_{\bar{u}_s}\psi_{23}(r_p,w_p,\overline{r}_p,\overline{w}_p)
\end{aligned} \tag{183}$$

where the derivatives are calculated keeping w_p and $\overline{w}_p$ to be fixed. The integration over μ_s and over $\bar{\mu}_s$ in (178) being performed, $\mathcal{A}(U_p,\overline{U}_p,V_p,\overline{V_p})$ is represented as follows

$$\mathcal{A}(U_p,\overline{U}_p,V_p,\overline{V_p})=\int d^2u_s\theta(\Lambda-|u_s-v_p|)\grave{\mathcal{A}}(U_p,\overline{U_p},w_p,\overline{w_p},u_s,\overline{u_s},\nu_p,\overline{\nu}_p) \tag{184}$$

where

$$\grave{\mathcal{A}}(U_p,w_p,\overline{U_p},\overline{w_p},u_s,\overline{u_s},\nu_p,\overline{\nu}_p)=\int\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_2(U_s,U_p,\overline{U}_s,\overline{U}_p,V_s,V_p,\overline{V}_s,\overline{V}_p)d^2v_sd\nu_sd\bar{\nu}_sd\mu_sd\bar{\mu}_s \tag{185}$$

which can be also represented as follows

$$\grave{\mathcal{A}}(U_p, w_p, \overline{U_p}, \overline{w_p}, u_s, \overline{u_s}, \nu_p, \overline{\nu}_p) = -\sum_{m=1}^{3}\sum_{n=1}^{3}\mathcal{U}_m\overline{\mathcal{U}}_n\psi_{mn}(r_p, w_p, \overline{r}_p, \overline{w}_p)\,, \tag{186}$$

$\psi_{mn}(r_p, w_p, \overline{r}_p, \overline{w}_p)$ being identical that in (181), and

$$\mathcal{U}_1 = \mu_p\nu_p, \quad \mathcal{U}_2 = \mathcal{U}_3 = -1\,. \tag{187}$$

Firstly, we discuss $\grave{\mathcal{A}}(U_p, w_p, \overline{U_p}, \overline{w_p}, u_s, \overline{u_s}, \nu_p, \overline{\nu}_p)$ in the $u_p \to v_p$ limit keeping u_s to be fixed. Since the genus-1 function is nullified, only the region $|v_s - u_p|^2 \sim |v_p - u_p|^2$ could contribute to (185). Due to the small size of the integration region, the function

$$\grave{\mathcal{A}}_0(u_p, v_p, \overline{u}_p, \overline{v}_p, u_s, \overline{u}_s) \equiv \grave{\mathcal{A}}(U_p, w_p, \overline{U_p}, \overline{w_p}, u_s, \overline{u_s}, \nu_p, \overline{\nu}_p)\Big|_{\mu_p=\nu_p=\overline{\mu}_p=\overline{\nu}_p=0} \tag{188}$$

has no a singularity at $u_p = v_p$. In this case $\psi_{mn}(r_p, w_p, \overline{r}_p, \overline{w}_p)$ with both $m \neq 1$ and $n \neq 1$ has no a singularity at $u_p = v_p$ and at $w_p = 0$. Then, as it follows from (183), the $\psi_{1n}(r_p, w_p, \overline{r}_p, \overline{w}_p)$ and $\psi_{m1}(r_p, w_p, \overline{r}_p, \overline{w}_p)$ functions also have no a singularity at $u_p = v_p$ and at $w_p = 0$. Therefore, u_s to be fixed, $\grave{\mathcal{A}}(U_p, w_p, \overline{U_p}, \overline{w_p}, u_s, \overline{u_s}, \nu_p, \overline{\nu}_p)$ has no singularity at $u_p = v_p$ and at $w_p = 0$. Furthermore, $\grave{\mathcal{A}}(U_p, w_p, \overline{U_p}, \overline{w_p}, u_s, \overline{u_s}, \nu_p, \overline{\nu}_p)$ is expressed through the same function at $\mu_p = \nu_p = \overline{\mu}_p = \overline{\nu}_p = 0$ as follows (see Appendix B for details)

$$\grave{\mathcal{A}}(U_p, w_p, \overline{U_p}, \overline{w_p}, u_s, \overline{u_s}, \nu_p, \overline{\nu}_p) = \left|1 - \frac{\mu_p\nu_p}{v_p - u_p}\right|^2 \grave{\mathcal{A}}_0(u_p, v_p, \overline{u}_p, \overline{v}_p, u_s, \overline{u}_s) \tag{189}$$

where $\grave{\mathcal{A}}_0(u_p, v_p, \overline{u}_p, \overline{v}_p, u_s, \overline{u}_s)$ is defined by (188) By aforesaid, the left side of (189) is finite at $u_p \to v_p$. Therefore, $\grave{\mathcal{A}}_0(u_p, v_p, \overline{u}_p, \overline{v}_p, u_s, \overline{u}_s)$ is equal to zero at $u_p \to v_p$.

Due to $L(2)$ symmetry, $|(u_p - u_s)(u_s - v_p)|^2\grave{\mathcal{A}}_0(u_p, v_p, \overline{u}_p, \overline{v}_p, u_s, \overline{u}_s)$ does not depend on u_p ,v_p and u_s. Therefore $\grave{\mathcal{A}}_0(u_p, v_p, \overline{u}_p, \overline{v}_p, u_s, \overline{u}_s)$ is equal to zero identically in its arguments. Then the vacuum genus-2 amplitude is nullified. Indeed, this amplitude is represented by the integral (35) for $m = 0$ in the genus-2 case. Further, μ_1 and ν_1 can be taken to be $\mu_1 = \nu_2 = 0$ (as it has been discussed in Section 3, the integral does not depend on u_1, v_1, u_2, μ_1 and ν_1). After the integration over v_2, μ_2 and ν_2 (and over their complex conjugated) the integral over the Schottky group multipliers appears, the integrated function being proportional to $\grave{\mathcal{A}}_0(u_1, v_1, \overline{u}_1, \overline{v}_1, u_2, \overline{u}_2) \equiv 0$. Therefore, the vacuum amplitude is nullified. The nullification of the vacuum amplitude is achieved due to the integration over the limiting points of the super-Schottky group. The local in the moduli space vacuum function is, generally, not equal to zero. Due to the nullification of the vacuum amplitude, the contribution to (177) from the first term on the right side is nullified. The 'dots" terms (177) are finite for reasons which are quite similar to those for the genus-1 case. So the considered configuration give the finite contribution to the interaction amplitude (35).

If, in addition, a single interaction vertex coordinate z moves to v_p, then only the region $|z - v_p| \sim |u_s - v_p| \sim |v_s - v_p| \sim |u_s - v_s| \sim |u_p - v_p|$ might additionally contribute to the

$u_p \to v_p$ singularity. In this case the superholomorphic function $R_L(t,t';\{q\})$ is given by (B.1) where the genus-2 supermanifoldis formed by 's' and 'p'-handles. It is useful to represent this genus-2 function $R(t_1,t_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp})$ through the variables $\hat{t}_1$ and $\hat{t}_2$ which are related with t_1 and t_2 by transformation (C.3) given in Appendix C. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} R(t_1,t_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp}) = \ln(z_1-z_2-\vartheta_1\vartheta_2) + \widetilde{R}_{bb}(\hat{z}_1,\hat{z}_2;\{q\}_{sp}) - \hat{\vartheta}_1\hat{\vartheta}_2\widetilde{R}_{ff}(\hat{z}_1,\hat{z}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp}) \\ -\hat{\vartheta}_1 R_{fb}(\hat{z}_1,\hat{z}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp}) \\ +R_{bf}(\hat{z}_1,\hat{z}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp})\hat{\vartheta}_2 + \kappa_{sp}[\Xi^{(b)}_{sp}(\hat{t}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp}) + \Xi^{(b)}_{sp}(\hat{t}_1;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp})] \\ -l_{sp}\varepsilon'_p[\Xi^{(f)}_{sp}(\hat{t}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp}) + \Xi^{(f)}_{sp}(\hat{t}_1;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp})] \end{aligned} \tag{190}$$

where $R_{fb}(\hat{z}_1,\hat{z}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp}) = R_{bf}(\hat{z}_2,\hat{z}_1;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi^{(b)}_{sp}(\hat{t}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp}) &= \widetilde{\Xi}^{(bb)}_{sp}(\hat{z}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp}) + \Xi^{(bf)}_{sp}(\hat{z}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp})\hat{\vartheta}_2\,, \\ \Xi^{(f)}_{sp}(\hat{t}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp}) &= \widetilde{\Xi}^{(ff)}_{sp}(\hat{z}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp})\hat{\vartheta}_2 + \Xi^{(fb)}_{sp}(\hat{z}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp})\,, \\ l_{sp} &= 1+\frac{1}{2}(u_p-v_p)\kappa_{sp}\,, \quad \kappa_{sp} = \frac{\mu_s\varepsilon_p(u_s)}{(u_s-u_p)(u_s-v_p)}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{191}$$

The terms on the right side in (191) determine the asymptotic at $z_1 \to \infty$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{R}_{bb}(\hat{z}_1,\hat{z}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp}) &\to \frac{\widetilde{\Xi}^{(bb)}_{sp}(\hat{z}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp})}{(\hat{z}_1-v_p)}\,, \\ \widetilde{R}_{ff}(\hat{z}_1,\hat{z}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp}) &\to \frac{\widetilde{\Xi}^{(ff)}_{sp}(\hat{z}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp})}{(\hat{z}_1-v_p)}\,, \\ R_{fb}(\hat{z}_1,\hat{z}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp}) &\to \frac{\Xi^{(fb)}_{sp}(t_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp})}{(\hat{z}_1-v_p)}\,, \\ R_{bf}(\hat{z}_1,\hat{z}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp}) &\to \frac{\Xi^{(bf)}_{sp}(\hat{z}_2;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp})}{(\hat{z}_1-v_p)}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{192}$$

In this case (see Appendix B)

$$\begin{aligned} R_L(t,t';\{q\}) = \Xi^{(b)}_{sp}(\hat{t}_1;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp})[(u_p-v_p)\kappa_{sp}\partial_{v_p}R_{bL_2}(v_p,t';\{q\}_2) \\ -l_{sp}\varepsilon'_p R_{fL_2}(v_p,t';\{q\}_2)] + \Xi^{(f)}_{sp}(\hat{t}_1;\{q\}_{sp};L_{sp})l_{sp}(1+\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_p\varepsilon'_p) \\ \times[\nu_p\partial_{v_p}R_{bL_2}(v_p,t';\{q\}_2) - R_{fL_2}(v_p,t';\{q\}_2)] + R_{bL_2}(v_p,t';\{q\}_2) \\ -\vartheta_1(\hat{t}_1)R_{fL_2}(v_p,t';\{q\}_2) + \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{193}$$

where $\vartheta_1(\hat{t}_1)$ is calculated through $\hat{t}_1$ using eqs. (C.1) and (C.2) of Appendix C and 'dots' code terms of higher orders in (u_p-v_p). The $R_{bL_2}(v_p,t';\{q\}_2)$ and $R_{fL_2}(v_p,t';\{q\}_2)$ functions are the same as $R_{bL}(z,t';\{q\})$ and $R_{fL}(z,t';\{q\})$ in (68) given on the genus-$(n-2)$ supermanifold which is obtained omitting s- and p-handles from the former genus-n supermanifold. Other definitions are the same as in (190) and in (191). Eq.(190) is an extension to the higher-genus supermanifolds of eq. (56). Like the genus-1 case, (193) leads to the singularity that

is not stronger than $1/|u_s - v_s|^2$. The integration over t being performed, the singularity is compensated by the $\sim |u_s - v_s|^2$ smallness of the integration volume. So the contribution to the amplitude of the considered configuration is finite.

Two- and three-point amplitudes are nullified like the vacuum amplitude. The questioned amplitudes are nominally given by (35) for $m = 2$ and $m = 3$. The vacuum expectation of the interaction vertex product is as follows

$$< \prod_{r=1}^{m} V(t_r, \overline{t}_r; p_r; \zeta^{(r)}) >_{L,L'} = \sum_s \mathcal{P}^{(s)} \mathcal{L}_m^{(s)}(\{t_j, \overline{t}_j; L, L') \tag{194}$$

where $\mathcal{P}^{(s)}$ depend only on the 10-dim momenta and polarizations of the bosons. As above, $< ... >$ is the vacuum expectation of the interaction vertex product. In this case two- and three-point integrals $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_m(U_p, V_p, \overline{U_p}, \overline{V_p}, U_s, \overline{U_s})$ are constructed like (179) as follows

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_m(U_p, V_p, \overline{U_p}, \overline{V_p}, U_s, \overline{U_s}) = \int \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_2^{(j)}(U_s, U_p, \overline{U}_s, \overline{U}_p, V_s, V_p, \overline{V}_s, \overline{V}_p) d^2 v_s d\nu_s d\bar{\nu}_s \,, \tag{195}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_2^{(j)}(U_s, U_p, \overline{U}_s, \overline{U}_p, V_s, V_p, \overline{V}_s, \overline{V}_p)$$

$$= \sum_{L,L'} \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_2(U_s, U_p, \overline{U}_s, \overline{U}_p, V_s, V_p, \overline{V}_s, \overline{V}_p; L, L') \mathcal{L}_m^{(s)}(L, L') \tag{196}$$

where $m = 2$ or $m = 3$ and $\mathcal{L}_m^{(s)}$ is obtained by the integration of $\mathcal{L}_m^{(s)}(\{t_j\}, \overline{t}_j\}; L, L')$ over interaction vertex coordinates. Integrating the function (195) over μ_s and $\overline{\mu}_s$, one obtains the $\grave{\mathcal{A}}_m(U_p, w_p, \overline{U_p}, \overline{w_p}, u_s, \overline{u_s}, \nu_p, \overline{\nu}_p)$ function. The questioned $\mathcal{L}_m^{(s)}$ is invariant under $SL(2)$-transformations. So, like (179), the integral (195) is invariant under (180) and (C.1) transformations. As the result, $\grave{\mathcal{A}}_m(U_p, w_p, \overline{U_p}, \overline{w_p}, u_s, \overline{u_s}, \nu_p, \overline{\nu}_p)$ is equal to zero for the same reasons as $\grave{\mathcal{A}}(U_p, w_p, \overline{U_p}, \overline{w_p}, u_s, \overline{u_s}, \nu_p, \overline{\nu}_p)$.

The nullification of the vacuum amplitude with more then two loops as well as the nullification of the 2- and 3-point ones is proved by the induction method. Simultaneously the absence of divergences is established in the case when the n-loop, m-point amplitude is degenerated into a product of the vacuum $n_1 > 2$-loop amplitude and of the $(n - n_1)$-loop, m-point one. In doing so, the desired properties are assumed for the $(n_1 - 1)$-loop amplitude and the genus-$(n_1 - 1)$ function (178) is constructed. Then the consideration is performed in the manner given above for the $n_1 = 2$ case. An absence of the divergences in the higher-genus amplitude is similarity verified for configurations where a number m_1 of the vertices moving to limiting points of the Schottky group is $m_1 = 1$, $m_1 = (m - 1)$ and $m_1 = m$ where m is number of the vertices in the considered amplitude.

The one-point amplitude is nullified because by (48), it is proportionle to the vacuum amplitude. In this case the nullification appears after the integration over the vertex coordinate being performed.

The nullification of the vacuum amplitude arises once the integration has been performed over limiting points of the genus-n_1 super-Schottky group. The local in the moduli space vacuum function is not nullified. The nullification of the 2- and 3-point amplitudes requires, in addition, that the integration over the interaction vertex coordinates to be performed. Indeed, the vacuum correlator (45) is, generally, not invariant under $SL(2)$ transformations. Really,

the above correlator receives two additional terms, every term being dependent on only one of the points. As the result, the $\mathcal{L}_m^{(s)}(\{t_j\},\overline{t}_j\};L,L')$ function has not $SL(2)$-symmetry. At the same time the right side of (194) possesses $SL(2)$-symmetry because the vacuum expectation of the interaction vertex product has the symmetry in question. The $\mathcal{P}^{(s)}$ factor being taken into account, the 2- and 3-point amplitude is nullified independently from which (3|2) variables are fixed among the super-Shottky group limiting points and the interaction vertex coordinates.

Though local spinning string amplitudes are covariant under the supermodular transformations [13], the modular invariance of the whole amplitude (35) is not seemingly obvious. Indeed, the supermodular transformations depend on the superspin structure [13], but the integral of a single superspin structure is ill-defined due to the sigularities of the integration measure (123). For the same reason, it is not obvious the invariance of the amplitude (35) with respect to the superspin dependent $SL(2)$-transformations, in particular, with respect to the super-Schottky group transformations. To verify the invariance of (35) under considered transformations, it is useful to remaind that any part $\Omega(L,L')$ of the integration region in (35) can replaced its congruent part $\Omega_c(L,L')$. If the amplitude has no singularities in the $\Omega_c(L,L')-\Omega(L,L')$ domain, then the integration of the local amplitude over $\Omega_c(L,L')-\Omega(L,L')$ can be performed separately for each a superspin structure, the result being equal to zero. So (35) is invariant under questioned transformations. To verify the symmetry, one can also regularize the integral for every superspin structure by relevant restrictions on the period matrix entries $\omega_{jl}(\{q\},L)$. In doing so, a regularization at $k_j\to 0$ is achieved by atop cutoff $1/\epsilon_{jj}$ on the diagonal entry $\omega_{jj}(\{q\},L)$ of the period matrix. The regularization at $v_j\to u_j$ and at $v_l\to u_l$ is achieved by a bottom cutoff ϵ_{jl} on $\omega_{jl}(\{q\},L)$. The regularization is realized introducing relevant step-function multipliers in (35) which in line with previous Sections are treated as Taylor series over Grassmann variables. In the $k_j\to 0$ limit or in the $v_j\to u_j$ one, the period matrix entries cease to depend on the spin structure of the j-th handle. Therefore, the discussed regularization corresponds to such a cutoff on the Schottky group parameters which is independent of the spin structure of the degenerated handle. In this case the cutoff sum over superspin structures in the ϵ_{jl} limit coincides with the sum in (35). At the same time, the desired 2D-transformation can be performed in every cutoff integral corresponding to the given superspin structure. Under $SL(2)$-transformation the period matrix is not changed, and is changed by (20) under the supermodular transformation. Therefore, like the former period matrix, the resulted period matrix in the given nodal region does not depend on the spin structure of the relevant degenerated handle. Therefore, the cutoff on the resulted Schottky group parameters does not depend on the spin structure of the degenerated handle. In this case the cutoff resulted sum over superspin structures in the ϵ_{jl} limit coincides with the former sum that is the amplitude (35) is invariant under the questioned 2D-transformations. Therefore, the obtained amplitude (35) is consistent with the symmetries of the spinning string and with the space-time supersymmetry.

The paper is supported by RSF grant No. 14-22-00281.

Appendix A Polynomials

The column $Y_p^{(s)}$ of the Y_{p,N_s} polynomials [12] for p being $p=a$ and $p=b$, can be represented as follows

$$Y_p^{(s)} = \hat{Y}_p^{(s)T}\widehat{T} \tag{A.1}$$

where "T" index denotes transposition and

$$\widehat{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+\frac{\mu\nu}{u-v} & 0 & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1+\frac{\mu\nu}{u-v} & 0 & \nu \\ 0 & \frac{\mu-\nu}{u-v} & 0 & 1-\frac{\mu\nu}{2(u-v)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\mu-\nu}{u-v} & 0 & 1-\frac{\mu\nu}{2(u-v)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.2}$$

Therefore,

$$\widehat{T}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-2\frac{\mu\nu}{u-v} & 0 & -\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1-2\frac{\mu\nu}{u-v} & 0 & -\nu \\ 0 & -\frac{\mu-\nu}{u-v} & 0 & 1+\frac{3\mu\nu}{2(u-v)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\mu-\nu}{u-v} & 0 & 1+\frac{3\mu\nu}{2(u-v)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.3}$$

Entries $Y_{p,N_s}^{(r)}$ of the $\hat{Y}_p^{(s)}$ column are

$$Y_{p,N_s}^{(r)}(t) = \frac{\grave{Y}_{p,N_s}^{(0)}(t_s)}{Q^2_{\bar{\Gamma}_s}(t_s)} \tag{A.4}$$

where, in turn, $\grave{Y}_{p,N_s}^{(0)}(t_s) = \vartheta_s Y_{p,N_s}^{(0)}(z_s)$ when $N_s=(\mu_s,\nu_s)$ and $\grave{Y}_{p,N_s}^{(0)}(t_s) = Y_{N_s}^{(0)}(z_s)$ when $N_s=(k_s,u_s,v_s)$. Further (index "s" is omitted),

$$Y_{b,k}^{(0)}(z) = \frac{(z-u)(z-v)}{k(u-v)}, \quad Y_{b,u}^{(0)}(z) = \frac{(1-k)(z-v)^2}{k(u-v)^2}, \quad Y_{b,v}^{(0)}(z) = \frac{(k-1)(z-u)^2}{k(v-u)^2},$$
$$Y_{b,\mu}^{(0)}(z) = 2\frac{(1-\sqrt{k})(z-v)}{\sqrt{k}(u-v)}, \quad Y_{b,\nu}^{(0)}(z) = 2\frac{(\sqrt{k}-1)(z-u)}{(v-u)}, \quad Y_{a,N}^{(0)}(z) = Y_{b,N}^{(0)}(z)\Big|_{\sqrt{k}=-1}. \tag{A.5}$$

Furthermore, $Y_{p,N_s}(t)$ for $N_s \neq k_s$ are expressed through some $Y_{N_s}(t)$ polynomials as follows

$$Y_{p,N_s}(t) = Q^2_{\Gamma_{p,s}}(t) Y_{N_s}(\Gamma_{p,s}(t)) - Y_{N_s}(t). \tag{A.6}$$

The Y_{N_s} set forms the $\hat{Y}^{(s)}$ column, and

$$Y^{(s)} = \hat{Y}^{(s)T}\widehat{T} \tag{A.7}$$

where "T" index denotes transposition, $\widehat{T}$ matrix is given by (A.2) and entries $Y_{N_s}^{(r)}$ of the $\hat{Y}^{(s)}$ column are represented as follows

$$Y_{N_s}^{(r)}(t) = \frac{\grave{Y}_{N_s}^{(0)}(t_s)}{Q^2_{\bar{\Gamma}_s}(t_s)}. \tag{A.8}$$

In turn, $\grave{Y}^{(0)}_{N_s}(t_s) = \vartheta_s Y^{(0)}_{N_s}(z_s)$ when $N_s = (\mu_s, \nu_s)$ and $\grave{Y}^{(0)}_{N_s}(t_s) = Y^{(0)}_{N_s}(z_s)$, if $N_s = (k_s, u_s, v_s)$. In this case (index "s" is omitted)

$$Y^{(0)}_u(z) = \frac{(z-v)^2}{(u-v)^2}\,, \quad Y^{(0)}_v(z) = \frac{(z-u)^2}{(v-u)^2}\,, \quad Y^{(0)}_\mu(z) = \frac{2(z-v)}{(u-v)}\,, \quad Y^{(0)}_\nu(z) = \frac{2(z-u)}{(v-u)}\,. \tag{A.9}$$

It is useful to note also that

$$\sum_{p=a,b}\int_{C^{(s)}_p} \chi_{N_s}(t;\{q\})\frac{dzd\vartheta}{2\pi i}Y_{p,N_r}(t) = \delta_{N_sN_r}\,, \tag{A.10}$$

$$\int_{C^{(s)}_b} \Psi_{\sigma,N_s}(t;\{q\})\frac{dzd\vartheta}{2\pi i}\hat{Y}^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_r}(t) = \delta_{N_sN_r} \tag{A.11}$$

and that

$$\sum_{p=a,b}\int_{C^{(s)}_p} G(t,t';\{q\})\frac{dz'd\vartheta'}{2\pi i}Y_{p,N_s}(t') = 0\,, \tag{A.12}$$

$$\int_{C^{(s)}_b} S_\sigma(t,t';\{q\})\frac{dz'd\vartheta'}{2\pi i}\hat{Y}^{(1)}_{\sigma,N_s}(t') = 0\,. \tag{A.13}$$

In this case $t = (z|\vartheta)$ and z lays in the fundamental region.

Appendix B Correlators on degenerated surfaces

It is supposed that the genus-n supermanifold is degenerated into a sum of the genus-n_1 supermanifold and of the genus-n_2 one, $n_2 = n - n_1$. In doing so, it is assumed that the local limiting points of the genus-n_1 supermanifold are moved to v_p which is one among them. Further, if $t = (z|\vartheta)$ and z is moved to v_p, then the super-holomorphic Green function $R_L(t,t';\{q\})$ in (37) is approximated as follows

$$R_L(t,t';\{q\}) \approx \int d\vartheta \widetilde{R}_{L_1}(t,t_2;\{q\}_1)(z-z_2)\Big|_{z_2\to\infty} D(\tilde{t}_2)R_{L_2}(\tilde{t}_2,t';\{q\}_2) + R_{L_2}(t,t';\{q\}_2) \tag{B.1}$$

where $\widetilde{R}_{L_1}(t,t_2;\{q\}_1) = R_{L_1}(t,t_2;\{q\}_1) - \ln(z-z_2-\vartheta\vartheta_2)$ is given on the genus-n_1 supermanifold, $R_{L_2}(\tilde{t}_2,t';\{q\}_2)$ is given on the genus-n_2 supermanifold, $t_2 = (z_2|\vartheta_2)$, $\tilde{t}_2 = (v_p|\vartheta_2)$ and $\tilde{t} = (v_p|\vartheta)$. Eq.(B.1) follows directly from (50), (52) and (69).

If the m_1 handle is assigned to the genus-n_1 supermanifold under consideration and a certain point z' is not nearby v_p, then in accordance with (B.1), the superscalar function $J_{m_1}(t';\{q\};L)$ is approximated as follows

$$J_{m_1}(t';\{q\};L) \approx \int d\vartheta_2\, J_{m_1}(t_2;\{q\}_1;L_1)(z-z_2)\Big|_{z_2\to\infty} D(\tilde{t}_2)R_{L_2}(\tilde{t}_2,t';\{q\}_2) + R_{L_2}(t,t';\{q\}_2)\,. \tag{B.2}$$

If the m_2 handle is assigned to the genus-n_2 supermanifold and z is nearby v_p, then the superscalar function $J_{m_2}(t;\{q\};L)$ is approximated as follows

$$J_{m_2}(t;\{q\};L) \approx \int d\vartheta_2\, R_{L_1}(t,t_2;\{q\}_1)(z-z_2)\Big|_{z_2\to\infty} D(\tilde{t}_2)J_{m_2}(\tilde{t}_2;\{q\}_2;L_2) + J_{m_2}(t;\{q\}_2;L_2) \tag{B.3}$$

In accordance with both (B.2) and (B.3), the $\omega_{m_1m_2}(\{q\};L)$ entry of the period matrix is approximated by

$$\omega_{m_1m_2}(\{q\};L) \approx \int d\vartheta_2\, J_{m_1}(t_2;\{q\}_1;L_1)(z-z_2)\Big|_{z_2\to\infty} D(\tilde{t}_2)J_{m_2}(\tilde{t}_2;\{q\}_2;L_2)\,. \tag{B.4}$$

Appendix C Deriving of eq.(189)

To derive eq.(189) we employ the $SL(2)$ transformation that reducing μ_p and ν_p to zero, preserves u_p, v_p and u_s. The transformation is

$$z = f(\hat{z}) + f'(\hat{z})\hat{\vartheta}\xi(\hat{z})\,, \quad \vartheta = \sqrt{f'(\hat{z})}[(1+\frac{1}{2}\xi\xi')\hat{\vartheta} + \xi(\hat{z})] \tag{C.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(\hat{z}) &= \hat{z} - \frac{(\hat{z}-u_p)(\hat{z}-v_p)}{(u_s-u_p)(u_s-v_p)}\hat{\mu}_s\xi(u_s)\,, \\ \xi(\hat{z}) &= \frac{\mu_p(\hat{z}-v_p)}{(u_p-v_p)\sqrt{f'(u_p)}} - \frac{\nu_p(\hat{z}-u_p)}{(u_p-v_p)\sqrt{f'(v_p)}}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

Evidently, $f'(u_p)f'(v_p)=1$. The inverse transformation is as follows

$$\hat{z} = \widetilde{f}(z) - \widetilde{f}'(z)\vartheta\varepsilon_p(z)\,, \quad \hat{\vartheta} = \sqrt{\widetilde{f}'(z)}[(1+\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_p\varepsilon_p')\vartheta - \varepsilon(z)] \tag{C.3}$$

where

$$\widetilde{f}(z) = z + \frac{(z-u_p)(z-v_p)}{(u_s-u_p)(u_s-v_p)}\mu_s\varepsilon_p(u_s)\,. \tag{C.4}$$

To obtain the desired equation we represent $d\mu_s d\bar{\mu}_s\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(U_p,V_p,\overline{U}_p,\overline{V}_p,U_s,\overline{U}_s)$ in (178) as $[d\mu_s d\bar{\mu}_s\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(U_p,V_p,\overline{U}_p,\overline{V}_p,U_s,\overline{U}_s)|\widehat{H}(U_p,V_p,U_s)|^2]\ \times\ |\widehat{H}(U_p,V_p,U_s)|^{-2}$ where the $\widehat{H}(U_p,V_p,U_s)$ factor is given by (18). Then we transform $\mu_s\to\hat{\mu}_s$ by the $SL(2)$ transformation (C.2). The expression inside the square brackets is transformed into the same expression at $\mu_p=\nu_p=0$ where μ_s is replaced by $\hat{\mu}_s$. By dimension reasons,this expression is proportional to $\hat{\mu}_s\overline{\hat{\mu}_s}$. So $H^{-1}(U_2,V_2,U_1)$ in front of the square brackets can be replaced by $[1+\mu_p\nu_p/(u_p-v_p)]$. Thereupon the integration over μ_s and $\overline{\mu}_s$ being performed, a relation for $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(U_p,V_p,\overline{U}_p,\overline{V}_p,u_s,\overline{u}_s)$ arises as follows

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(U_p,V_p,\overline{U}_p,\overline{V}_p,u_s,\overline{u}_s) = \left|1-\frac{\mu_p\nu_p}{v_p-u_p}\right|^2\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(u_p,v_p,\overline{u}_p,\overline{v}_p,u_s,\overline{u}_s) \tag{C.5}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(u_p,v_p,\overline{u}_p,\overline{v}_p,u_s,\overline{u}_s)$ is $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(U_p,V_p,\overline{U}_p,\overline{V}_p,u_s,\overline{u}_s)$ at $\mu_p=\nu_p=0$. Eq.(189) is obtained by substituting $v_p=u_p-w_p-\mu_p\nu_p$ into (C.5).

References

[1] P. Ramond, Phys.Rev. D3 (1971) 2415.
A. Neveu and J.H. Schwarz, Nucl.Phys. B31 (1971) 86.

[2] E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Phys. Lett. B 192 (1987) 95; Nucl. Phys B 288 (1987) 357.

[3] N. Seiberg, and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 276 (1986) 272.

[4] G. Moore and A. Morozov, Nucl. Phys. B 306 (1988) 387.

[5] J. Atick, J. Rabin, and A. Sen, Nucl. Phys. B 299 (1988) 279.

[6] Eric D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, Phys. Lett. B529 (2002) 241; Nucl. Phys. B 636 (2002) 3, 61; B 639 (2002) 129; B 715 (2005) 3, 91.

[7] G.S. Danilov, Class. Quantum Grav. 29 (2012) 235009; arXiv:1211.3280 [hep-th].

[8] M.A. Baranov and A.S. Schwarz, Pis'ma ZhETF 42 (1985) 340 [JETP Lett. 49 (1986) 419]; D. Friedan, Proc. Santa Barbara Workshop on Unified String theories, eds. D. Gross and M. Green (World Scientific, Singapure, 1986).

[9] D. Friedan, E. Martinec and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B 271 (1986) 93.

[10] P. Di Vecchia, K. Hornfeck, M. Frau, A. Ledra and S. Sciuto, Phys. Lett. B 211 (1988) 301; J.L. Petersen, J.R. Sidenius and A.K. Tollstén, Phys Lett. B 213 (1988) 30; Nucl. Phys. B 317 (1989) 109; B.E.W. Nilsson, A.K. Tollstén and A. Wätterstam, Phys Lett. B 222 (1989) 399;

[11] G.S. Danilov, JETP Lett. 58 (1993) 796 [Pis'ma JhETF 58 (1993)]; G.S. Danilov, Class. Quantum Grav. 11 (1994) 2155.

[12] G. S. Danilov, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 4359, 52 (1995) 6201 (Erratum).

[13] G.S. Danilov, Nucl. Phys. B 463 (1996) 443.

[14] C.L. Siegal, Topics in Complex Function Theory, (New York, Wiley, 1973), vol. 3.

[15] G.S. Danilov, Phys. Lett. B 257 (1991) 285; Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. 52 (1990) 727 [Yad.Fiz. 52 (1990) 1143].

[16] G. S. Danilov, Phys.Atom.Nucl. 67 (2004) 1035 [Yad.Fiz. 67 (2004) 1059].

[17] Sergio L. Cacciatori, Francesco dalla Piazza, and Bert Van Geemen, Nucl. Phys. B 800 (2008) 565; Sergio L. Cacciatori, Francesco dalla Piazza, and Bert Van Geemen, Lett. Math. Phys. 85 (2008) 185; G. Grushevsky, Com. Math. Phys. 287 (2009) 749; M. Oura, C. Poor, R. Salvati Manni, and D. S. Yuen, Math. Ann. 346 (2010) 447; Marco Matone and Roberto Volpato, Nucl. Phys. 732 (2006) 321.

[18] P. Dunin-Barkowski, A. Morozov and A. Sleptsov, JHEP 10 (2009) 072.

[19] Marco Matone and Roberto Volpato, Nucl. Phys. B 839 (2010) 21.

[20] L. Ford, Automorphic Functions (New York, Chelsea 1951).

[21] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz and E. Witten, Superstring Theory, vols.I and II (Cambridge Univesity Press, England, 1987).

[22] G.S. Danilov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 49 (1989) 1106 [Jadernaja Fizika 49 (1989) 1787].

[23] E. Martinec, Nucl. Phys. B 281 (1986) 157.